Non-linear problems in n variable Lectures for PHD course on

Non-linear equations and numerical optimization

Enrico Bertolazzi

DIMS - Università di Trento

March 2005



1 / 62

Non-linear problems in \boldsymbol{n} variable

Outline

- 1 The Newton Raphson
- 2 The Broyden method
- 3 The dumped Broyden method



The problem to solve

Problem

Given $\mathbf{F}:D\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n\mapsto\mathbb{R}^n$

Find $x_{\star} \in D$ for which $\mathbf{F}(x_{\star}) = 0$.

Example

Let

$$\mathbf{F}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2^3 + 7 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has $\mathbf{F}(x_{\star}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $x_{\star} = (1, -2)^T$.



Non-linear problems in n variable

3 / 62

The Newton Raphson

Outline

- 1 The Newton Raphson
- 2 The Broyden method
- 3 The dumped Broyden method



The Newton procedure

(1/3)

Consider the following map

$$\mathbf{F}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2^3 + 7 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

we known an approximation of a root $x_0 \approx (1.1, -1.9)^T$.

ullet Setting $oldsymbol{x}_1 = oldsymbol{x}_0 + oldsymbol{p}$ we obtain 1

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1.351 \\ 0.2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2.2 & 10.83 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|^2)$$

if x_0 is a good approximation of a root of $\mathbf{F}(x)$ then $\mathbf{\mathcal{O}}(\|\mathbf{p}\|^2)$ is a small vector.



¹Here $\vec{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ means $(\mathcal{O}(x),\ldots,\mathcal{O}(x))^T$

5 / 62

(2/3)

Non-linear problems in n variable

The Newton Raphson

The Newton procedure

The Newton procedure

• Neglecting $\vec{\mathcal{O}}(\|p\|^2)$ and solving

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.351 \\ 0.2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2.2 & 10.83 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

we obtain $p = (-0.094438, -0.105562)^T$.

Now we set

$$m{x}_1 = m{x}_0 + m{p} = egin{pmatrix} 1.005562 \\ -2.0055612 \end{pmatrix}$$



The Newton procedure

(3/3)

Considering

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_1 + \boldsymbol{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.05576 \\ 8 \, 10^{-7} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2.0111 & 12.0668 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} + \vec{\mathcal{O}}(\|\boldsymbol{q}\|^2)$$

• Neglecting $\vec{\mathcal{O}}(\|q\|^2)$ and solving

$$egin{pmatrix} -0.05576 \ 8\,10^{-7} \end{pmatrix} + egin{pmatrix} 2.0111 & 12.0668 \ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} q_1 \ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

we obtain $q = (-0.0055466, 0.0055458)^T$.

ullet Now we set $m{x}_2 = m{x}_1 + m{q} = (1.000015, -2.000015)^T$



Non-linear problems in n variable

7 / 62

The Newton Raphson

The Newton procedure

The Newton procedure: a modern point of view

(1/2)

The previous procedure can be resumed as follows:

- ① Consider the following function $\mathbf{F}(x)$. We known an approximation of a root x_0 .
- Expand by Taylor series

$$\mathsf{F}(x) = \mathsf{F}(x_0) +
abla \mathsf{F}(x_0)(x-x_0) + ec{\mathcal{O}}(\|x-x_0\|^2)$$

3 Drop the term $\vec{\mathcal{O}}(\|x-x_0\|^2)$ and solve

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathsf{F}(x_0) +
abla \mathsf{F}(x_0)(x-x_0)$$

Call x_1 this solution.

lacktriangle Repeat 1-3 with x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , ...



The Newton procedure: a modern point of view

(2/2)

Algorithm (Newton iterative scheme)

Let x_0 assigned, then for k = 0, 1, 2, ...

• Solve for p_k :

$$abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)oldsymbol{p}_k + \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) = \mathbf{0}$$

Update

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{p}_k$$





9 / 62

Non-linear problems in n variable

The Newton Raphson

Standard Assumptions

Standard Assumptions

In the study of convergence of numerical scheme, some standard regularity assumption are assumed for the function $\mathbf{F}(x)$.

Assumption (Standard Assumptions)

The function $\mathbf{F}: D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, differentiable with Lipschitz derivative $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x)$. i.e.

$$\|\nabla \mathsf{F}(x) - \nabla \mathsf{F}(y)\| \le \gamma \|x - y\| \qquad \forall x, y \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

Lemma (Taylor like expansion)

Let F(x) satisfy the standard assumptions, then

$$\|\mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{y}) - \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}) -
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x})(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x})\| \leq rac{\gamma}{2} \left\| oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}
ight\|^2 \quad orall oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{y} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$



Proof.

From basic Calculus:

$$\mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{y}) - \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}) = \int_0^1 \nabla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x} + t(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}))(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}) \, dt$$

subtracting on both side $abla {\sf F}(x)(y-x)$ we have

$$\mathbf{F}(oldsymbol{y}) - \mathbf{F}(oldsymbol{x}) -
abla \mathbf{F}($$

and taking the norm

$$\|\mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{y}) - \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x})(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x})\| \leq \int_0^1 \gamma t \, \|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}\|^2 \, dt$$





Non-linear problems in $\,n\,$ variable

11 / 62

The Newton Raphson

Standard Assumptions

Lemma (Jacobian norm control)

Let $\mathbf{F}(x)$ satisfying standard assumptions, and $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_\star)$ non singular. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $\|x-x_\star\|\leq \delta$ we have

$$\|2^{-1}\|\nabla\mathsf{F}(x)\| \leq \|\nabla\mathsf{F}(x_\star)\| \leq 2\|\nabla\mathsf{F}(x)\|$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1} \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1} \right\| \leq 2 \left\| \left| \nabla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1} \right\| \right\|$$



The Newton Raphson Standard Assumptions

Proof. (1/3).

From standard assumptions choosing $\gamma \delta \leq 2^{-1} \| \nabla \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_\star) \|$

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x})\| &\leq \|\nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})\| + \|\nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\star}\| + \|\nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})\| \\ &\leq (3/2) \|\nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})\| \leq 2 \|\nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})\| \end{split}$$

again choosing $\gamma \delta \leq 2^{-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})\|$

$$egin{aligned} \|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)\| &\leq \|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star) -
abla \mathsf{F}(x)\| + \|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)\| \ &\leq \gamma \|x - x_\star\| + \|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)\| \ &\leq 2^{-1} \|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)\| + \|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

so that $2^{-1} \|
abla \mathbf{F}(x_\star) \| \leq \|
abla \mathbf{F}(x) \|$.



《□》《圖》《意》《意》 毫:

13 / 62

Non-linear problems in $\,n\,$ variable

The Newton Raphson

Standard Assumptions

(2/3).

Proof.

From the continuity of the determinant there exists a neighbor with $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x)$ non singular for all $||x - x_{\star}|| \leq \delta$.

$$\begin{split} \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} - \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \right\| \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star}) - \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right\| \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \gamma \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\star} \right\| \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \right\| \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})^{-1} \right\| \end{split}$$

and choosing δ such that $\gamma\delta\left\|\nabla\mathbf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}\right\|\leq 2^{-1}$ we have

$$\left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1} -
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}
ight\| \leq 2^{-1} \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\|$$

and using this last inequality

$$egin{aligned} \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}
ight\| &\leq \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1} -
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| + \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| \\ &\leq (3/2) \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| \leq 2 \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| \end{aligned}$$



The Newton Raphson Standard Assumptions

Proof. (3/3)

Using last inequality again

$$egin{aligned} \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| &\leq \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1} -
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}
ight\| + \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}
ight\| \\ &\leq 2^{-1} \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| + \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}
ight\| \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$2^{-1} \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x)^{-1}
ight\| \leq \left\|
abla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}
ight\|$$

choosing δ such that for all $\|x - x_{\star}\| \leq \delta$ we have $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x)$ non singular and $\gamma \delta \leq 2^{-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_{\star})\|$ and $\gamma \delta \|\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_{\star})^{-1}\| \leq 2^{-1}$ then the inequality of the lemma are true.





15 / 62

Non-linear problems in n variable

The Newton Raphson

Local Convergence of Newton method

Theorem (Local Convergence of Newton method)

Let $\mathbf{F}(x)$ satisfying standard assumptions, and x_{\star} a simple root (i.e. $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_{\star})$ non singular). Then, if $||x_0 - x_{\star}|| \leq \delta$ with $C\delta \leq 1$ where

$$C = \gamma \left\| \nabla \mathsf{F}(x_\star)^{-1} \right\|$$

then, the sequence generated by Newton method satisfies:

- **1** $\|x_k x_{\star}\| \leq \delta$ for k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...
- $\|x_{k+1} x_{\star}\| \le C \|x_k x_{\star}\|^2 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- $lacksquare{3} \lim_{k \mapsto \infty} x_k = x_\star.$
 - The point 2 of the theorem is the second *q*-order of convergence of Newton method.



Proof.

Consider a Newton step with $\|oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}_\star\| \leq \delta$ and

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - oldsymbol{x}_{\star} &= oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}_{\star} -
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^{-1} ig[\mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) - \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) - \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) ig] \ &=
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^{-1} ig[
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) (oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}_{\star}) - \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) + \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_{\star}) ig] \end{aligned}$$

taking the norm and using Taylor like lemma

$$\|x_{k+1} - \alpha\| \le 2^{-1} \gamma \|x_k - \alpha\|^2 \|\nabla F(x_k)^{-1}\|$$

from Jacobian norm control lemma there exist a δ such that $2\|\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_k)^{-1}\| \geq \|\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}\|$ for all $\|x_k - x_\star\| \leq \delta$. Reducing eventually δ such that $\gamma \delta \|\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_\star)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ we have

$$\|x_{k+1} - x_{\star}\| \le \gamma \|\nabla F(x_{\star})^{-1}\| \delta \|x_k - x_{\star}\|^2 \le \|x_k - x_{\star}\|,$$

So that by induction we prove point 1. Point 2 and 3 follows trivially.



Non-linear problems in n variable

17 / 62

The Newton Raphson

Globalizing the Newton procedure

- The problem of Newton method is that it converge normally only when x_0 is near x_{\star} a root of the nonlinear system.
- A way to make a more robust non linear solver is to use the techniques developed for minimization to make a globally convergent nonlinear solver.
- In particular if we consider the merit function

$$\mathsf{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathsf{F}(x) \right\|^2$$

we have that $\mathsf{f}(x) \geq \mathsf{0}$ and if x_\star is such that $\mathsf{f}(x_\star) = \mathsf{0}$ than we have that

- $lacktriangledown_{\star}$ is a global minimum of f(x);
- ② $\mathbf{F}(x_{\star}) = \mathbf{0}$, i.e. is a solution of the nonlinear system $\mathbf{F}(x)$.
- So that finding a global minimum of the merit function f(x) is the same of finding a solution of the nonlinear system F(x).



- We can apply for example the gradient method to the merit function f(x). This produce a slow method.
- Instead, we can use the Newton method to produce a search direction. The resulting method is the following
 - ① Compute the search direction by solving $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_k)d_k + \mathbf{F}(x_k) = \mathbf{0}$;
 - 2 Find an approximate solution of the problem $\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha>0} \|\mathbf{F}(x_k + \alpha d_k)\|^2$;
 - 3 Update the solution $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$.
- The previous algorithm work if the direction d_k is a descent direction.



Non-linear problems in $\,n\,$ variable

19 / 62

The Newton Raphson

Globalizing the Newton procedure

Is d_k a descent direction?

(1/2)

Consider the gradient of $f(x) = (1/2) \|\mathbf{F}(x)\|^2$:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathsf{f}(m{x}) &= rac{1}{2} rac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \| \mathbf{F}(m{x}) \|^2 = rac{1}{2} rac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(m{x})^2 \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n rac{\partial F_i(m{x})}{\partial x_k} F_i(m{x}) \end{aligned}$$

this can be written as

$$abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}) = \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x})^T
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x})$$



Is d_k a descent direction?

(2/2)

Now we check $\nabla f(x_k)d_k$:

$$egin{aligned}
abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_k) oldsymbol{d}_k &= \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^T
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) oldsymbol{d}_k \ &= -\mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^T
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^T \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \ &= -\left\| \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)
ight\|^2 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that Newton direction is a descent direction.



Non-linear problems in n variable

21 / 62

The Newton Raphson

Globalizing the Newton procedure

Is the angle from $oldsymbol{d}_k$ and $abla {\sf f}(oldsymbol{x}_k)$ bounded from $\pi/2$? (2/2)

Let θ_k the angle form $\nabla \mathsf{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$ and \boldsymbol{d}_k , then we have

$$egin{aligned} \cos heta_k &= -rac{
abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_k) oldsymbol{d}_k}{\| \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \| \|
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^{-1} \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \|} \ &= rac{\| \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \|}{\|
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^{-1} \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \|} \ &\geq rac{\| \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \|}{\|
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^{-1} \| \| \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k) \|} \ &\geq \|
abla \mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^{-1} \|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

so that, if for example $\|\nabla \mathbf{F}(x)^{-1}\|$ is bounded from below then the angle θ_k is strictly less then $\pi/2$ radiants. By the Zoutendijk theorem then the globalized Newton scheme is globally convergent.



Algorithm (The globalized Newton method)

```
k \leftarrow 0; x assigned; f \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x); while \|f_k\| > \epsilon do - Evaluate search direction Solve \ \nabla \mathbf{F}(x)d = \mathbf{F}(x); - Evaluate dumping factor \lambda Approximate \lambda = \arg\min_{\alpha>0} \|\mathbf{F}(x-\alpha d_k)\|^2 by line-search; - perform step x \leftarrow x - \lambda d; f \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x); k \leftarrow k+1; end while
```



□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臺▶ ◆臺▶ 臺 めの(

Non-linear problems in $\,n\,$ variable

23 / 62

The Broyden method

Outline

- The Newton Raphson
- 2 The Broyden method
- 3 The dumped Broyden method



- Newton method is a fast (q-order 2) numerical scheme to approximate the root of a function $\mathbf{F}(x)$ but needs the knowledge of the Jacobian $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x)$.
- Sometimes Jacobian is not available or too expensive to compute, in this case a numerical procedure to approximate the root which does not use derivative is mandatory.
- The Newton scheme find successively the root of the affine approximation

$$L_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \doteq \nabla \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_k) + \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \mathbf{0}$$

ullet Substituting the Jacobian in the affine approximation by $oldsymbol{A}_k$

$$M_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \doteq \boldsymbol{A}_k(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_k) + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

and solving successively this affine model produces the family of different methods:



Non-linear problems in n variable

25 / 62

The Broyden method

The Broyden method

(2/5)

Algorithm (Generic Secant iterative scheme)

Let x_0 and A_0 assigned, then for k = 0, 1, 2, ...

• Solve for p_k :

$$M_k(\boldsymbol{p}_k + \boldsymbol{x}_k) = \boldsymbol{A}_k \boldsymbol{p}_k + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Update the root approximation

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k + \boldsymbol{p}_k$$

3 Update the affine model and produce A_{k+1} .



- ① The way an update of $M_k \to M_{k+1}$ determine the algorithm.
- A simple update is the forcing of a number of the secant relation:

$$M_{k+1}(x_{k+1-\ell}) = \mathbf{F}(x_{k+1-\ell}), \qquad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

notice that $M_{k+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) = \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})$ for all \boldsymbol{A}_{k+1} .

- 3 If $A_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and m = n and $d_{\ell} = x_{k+1-\ell} x_{k+1}$ are linearly independent then we have enough linear relation to determine A_{k+1} .
- Unfortunately vectors d_{ℓ} tends to become linearly dependent so that this approach is very ill conditioned.
- **3** A more feasible approach uses less secant relation and others conditions to determine M_{k+1} .



Non-linear problems in n variable

27 / 62

The Broyden method

The Broyden method

(4/5)

- ① The way an update of $M_k \to M_{k+1}$ in Broyden scheme is the following:
 - $M_{k+1}(x_k) = F(x_k);$
 - $M_{k+1}(x) M_k(x)$ is small in some sense;
- 2 The first condition imply

$$oldsymbol{A}_{k+1}(oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) + oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) = oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_k)$$

which set n linear equation that do not determine the n^2 coefficients of A_{k+1} .

The second condition become

$$M_{k+1}(x) - M_k(x) = (A_{k+1} - A_k)(x - x_k)$$

$$|||M_{k+1}(x) - M_k(x)|| \le |||A_{k+1} - A_k|| |||x - x_k||$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is some norm. The term $\|x-x_k\|$ is not controllable, so a condition should be $\|A_{k+1}-A_k\|$ is minimum.



The Broyden method

(5/5)

Defining

$$oldsymbol{y}_k = {\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) - {\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_k), \qquad oldsymbol{s}_k = oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - oldsymbol{x}_k$$

the Broyden scheme find the update A_{k+1} which satisfy:

- $\mathbf{0} \ \ A_{k+1}s_k = y_k;$
- ② $\|A_{k+1} A_k\| \le \|B A_k\|$ for all B such that $Bs_k = y_k$.
- ② If we choose for the norm $\|\cdot\|$ the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$

$$\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

then the problem admits a unique solution.



Non-linear problems in n variable

29 / 62

The Broyden method

The Frobenius matrix norm

The Frobenius matrix norm

(1/4)

The Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$

$$\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

is a matrix norm, i.e. it satisfy:

- $||AB||_F \le ||A||_F ||B||_F;$

The Frobenius norm is the length of the vector A if we consider A as a vector in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} .



The Frobenius matrix norm

(2/4)

The first two point of the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$ are trivial, to prove point 3 and 4 we need two classical inequality:

Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

The inequality is strict unless $a_i = \lambda b_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Triangular inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + b_i)^2\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

The inequality is strict unless $a_i = \lambda b_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n.



Non-linear problems in n variable

31 / 02

The Broyden method

The Frobenius matrix norm

The Frobenius matrix norm

(3/4)

Proof of $\|\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{B}\|_F \leq \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_F + \|\boldsymbol{B}\|_F$. By using triangular inequality

$$\|\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\|_{F} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (A_{ij} + B_{ij})^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} A_{ij}^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B_{ij}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \|\mathbf{A}\|_{F} + \|\mathbf{B}\|_{F}.$$



The Frobenius matrix norm

(4/4)

Proof of $\|AB\|_F \le \|A\|_F \|B\|_F$. By using Cauchy–Schwartz inequality with

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\|_{F} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} B_{kj}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{n} B_{k'j}^{2}\right)\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k'=1}^{n} B_{k'j}^{2}\right)\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \|\mathbf{A}\|_{F} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{F}.$$



←□ → ←□ → ← = → ← = → ...

33 / 62

Non-linear problems in n variable

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

With the Frobenius matrix norm it is possible to solve the following problem

Lemma

Let $\pmb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\pmb{s}, \pmb{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\pmb{s} \neq \pmb{0}$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ oldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n} \, | \, oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y}
ight\}$$

then there exists a unique matrix $oldsymbol{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|oldsymbol{A} - oldsymbol{B}\|_F \leq \|oldsymbol{A} - oldsymbol{C}\|_F$$
 for all $oldsymbol{C} \in \mathcal{B}$

moreover $oldsymbol{B}$ has the following form

$$oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{A} + rac{(y - As)s^T}{s^Ts}$$

i.e. B is a rank one perturbation of the matrix A.



Proof. (1/4).

First of all notice that \mathcal{B} is not empty, in fact

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s}}oldsymbol{y}oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s} & oldsymbol{\left\lceilrac{1}{oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s}}oldsymbol{y}oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y} \end{aligned}$$

So that the problem is not empty. Next we reformulate the problem as a constrained minimum problem:

$$\operatorname*{arg\,min}_{oldsymbol{B}\in\mathbb{R}^{n imes n}} \quad rac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (A_{ij} - B_{ij})^2 \qquad ext{subject to } oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y}.$$

The solution is a stationary point of the Lagrangian:

$$g(\mathbf{B}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (A_{ij} - B_{ij})^2 + \sum_{i=1} \lambda_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{ij} s_j - y_i \right)$$



Non-linear problems in n variable

35 / 62

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Proof. (2/4).

taking the gradient we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B_{ij}}g(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{\lambda})=A_{ij}-B_{ij}+\lambda_i s_j=0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} g(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} s_j - y_j = 0$$

The previous equality can be written in matrix form

$$oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{A} + oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T \qquad oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y}$$

so that we can solve for λ

$$oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s} \ oldsymbol{\lambda} = rac{oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s}}{oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s}}$$

next we prove that B is the unique minimum.



Proof.

(3/4).

The matrix B is a minimum, in fact

$$\left\|oldsymbol{B}-oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F = \left\|oldsymbol{A} + rac{(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s})oldsymbol{s}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s}} - oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F = \left\|rac{(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s})oldsymbol{s}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s}}
ight\|_F$$

for all $C \in \mathcal{B}$ we have Cs = y so that

$$egin{aligned} \left\|oldsymbol{B} - oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F &= \left\| (oldsymbol{C} - oldsymbol{A}) rac{oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s}}
ight\|_F &= \left\| oldsymbol{C} - oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F = \left\| oldsymbol{C} - oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F &= \left\| oldsymbol{C} - oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F \end{aligned}$$

because in general

$$\left\| oldsymbol{u} oldsymbol{v}^T
ight\|_F = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n u_i^2 v_j^2
ight)^{rac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n v_j^2
ight)^{rac{1}{2}} = \left\| oldsymbol{u}
ight\| \left\| oldsymbol{v}
ight\|$$



Non-linear problems in n variable

37 / 62

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Proof.

(4/4).

Let $m{B}'$ and $m{B}''$ two different minimum. Then $\frac{1}{2}(m{B}'+m{B}'')\in\mathcal{B}$ moreover

$$\left\|oldsymbol{A} - rac{1}{2}(oldsymbol{B}' + oldsymbol{B}'')
ight\|_F \leq rac{1}{2}\left\|oldsymbol{A} - oldsymbol{B}'
ight\|_F + rac{1}{2}\left\|oldsymbol{A} - oldsymbol{B}''
ight\|_F$$

If the inequality is strict we have a contradiction. From the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality we have an equality only when $A-B'=\lambda(A-B'')$ so that

$$B' - \lambda B'' = (1 - \lambda)A$$

and

$$B's - \lambda B''s = (1 - \lambda)As \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1 - \lambda)y = (1 - \lambda)As$$

but this is true only when $\lambda=1$, i.e. ${m B}'={m B}''$.



The update

$$oldsymbol{A}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{A}_k + rac{(oldsymbol{y}_k - oldsymbol{A}_k oldsymbol{s}_k) oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k}$$

satisfy the secant condition: $A_{k+1}s_k = y_k$ and A_{k+1} is the nearest matrix in the Frobenius norm that satisfy the secant condition.

2 Changing the norm we can have different results and in general you can loose uniqueness of the update.



39 / 62

Non-linear problems in \overline{n} variable

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

The Broyden method

(1/2)

Algorithm (The Broyden method)

$$k \leftarrow 0$$
; x_0 and A_0 assigned; $f_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x_0)$; while $||f_k|| > \epsilon$ do

Solve for s_k the linear system.

Solve for $oldsymbol{s}_k$ the linear system $oldsymbol{A}_koldsymbol{s}_k+oldsymbol{f}_k=oldsymbol{0}$;

$$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;$$

$$f_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(x_{k+1});$$

$$oldsymbol{y}_k \quad \leftarrow \ oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} - oldsymbol{f}_k;$$

Update:
$$m{A}_{k+1} \leftarrow m{A}_k + rac{(m{y}_k - m{A}_k m{s}_k) m{s}_k^T}{m{s}_k^T m{s}_k}$$
;

$$k \leftarrow k + 1$$
;

end while



The Broyden method

(2/2)

Notice that $m{y}_k - m{A}_k m{s}_k = m{f}_{k+1} - m{f}_k + m{f}_k$ so that the update can be written as $A_{k+1} \leftarrow A_k + f_{k+1} s_k^T / s_k^T s_k$ and y_k can be eliminated.

Algorithm (The Broyden method (alternative version))

$$k \leftarrow 0$$
; x and A assigned; $f \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x)$; while $\|f\| > \epsilon$ do Solve for s the linear system $As + f = \mathbf{0}$; $x \leftarrow x + s$; $f \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x)$; Update: $A \leftarrow A + \frac{fs^T}{s^Ts}$; $k \leftarrow k + 1$; end while



Non-linear problems in n variable

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Broyden algorithm properties

(1/2)

$\mathsf{Theorem}$

Let F(x) satisfy the standard regularity conditions with $\nabla F(x_{\star})$ nonsingular. Then there exists positive constants ϵ , δ such that if $\|m{x}_0 - m{x}_\star\| \leq \epsilon$ and $\|m{A}_0 -
abla \mathbf{F}(m{x}_\star)\| \leq \delta$, then the sequence $\{m{x}_k\}$ generated by the Broyden method is well defined and converge q-superlinearly to x_{\star} , i.e.

$$\lim_{k o \infty} rac{\|oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - oldsymbol{x}_k\|}{\|oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}_\star\|} = 0$$



C.G.Broyden, J.E.Dennis, J.J.Moré

On the local and super-linear convergence of quasi-Newton methods.

J. Inst. Math. Appl. **6** 222–236, 1973.



Broyden algorithm properties

(2/2)

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{F}(x) = Ax - b$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then the Broyden method converge in at most 2n steps.

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy the standard regularity conditions with $\nabla \mathbf{F}(x_{\star})$ nonsingular. Then there exists positive constants ϵ , δ such that if $||x_0 - x_\star|| \le \epsilon$ and $||A_0 - \nabla F(x_\star)|| \le \delta$, then the sequence $\{x_k\}$ generated by the Broyden method satisfy

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_{k+2n} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\star}\| \le C \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}_{\star}\|^2$$



D.M.Gay

Some convergence properties of Broyden's method. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 16 623-630, 1979.





Non-linear problems in n variable

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Reorganizing Broyden update

- ullet Broyden method needs to solve a linear system for $oldsymbol{A}_k$ at each step
- This can be onerous in terms of CPU cost
- ullet it is possible to update directly the inverse of $oldsymbol{A}_k$ i.e. it is possible to update $H_k = A_k^{-1}$.
- ullet The update of $oldsymbol{A}_k$ solve the problem of efficiency but do not alleviate the memory occupation
- The matrix A_k can be written as a product of simple matrix, this can save memory if the update are lesser respect to the system dimension.



Sherman-Morrison formula

Sherman-Morrison formula permit to explicit write the inverse of a matrix changed with a rank 1 perturbation

Proposition (Sherman-Morrison formula)

$$(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{1}{lpha} A^{-1} uv^T A^{-1}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 + \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}$$

The Sherman–Morrison formula can be checked by a direct calculation.



Non-linear problems in n variable

45 / 62

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Application of Sherman-Morrison formula

(1/2)

From the Broyden update formula

$$oldsymbol{A}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{A}_k + rac{oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k}$$

• By using Sherman-Morrison formula

$$m{A}_{k+1}^{-1} = m{A}_k^{-1} - rac{1}{eta_k} m{A}_k^{-1} m{f}_{k+1} m{s}_k^T m{A}_k^{-1}$$

$$\beta_k = \boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_k + \boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{A}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{f}_{k+1}$$

ullet By setting $oldsymbol{H}_k = oldsymbol{A}_k^{-1}$ we have the update formula for $oldsymbol{H}_k$:

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{H}_k - rac{1}{eta_k} oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k$$

$$\beta_k = \boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_k + \boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{f}_{k+1}$$



Application of Sherman-Morrison formula

(2/2)

• The update formula for H_k :

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &= oldsymbol{H}_k - rac{1}{eta_k} oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned} egin{aligned} eta_k &= oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

- Can be reorganized as follows
 - lacksquare Compute $oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1}$;

 - 2 Compute $\beta_k = s_k^T s_k + s_k^T z_{k+1}$; 3 Compute $\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} = (\boldsymbol{I} \beta_k^{-1} z_{k+1} s_k^T) \boldsymbol{H}_k$;



Non-linear problems in \overline{n} variable

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

The Broyden method with inverse updated

Algorithm (The Broyden method (updating inverse))

```
k \leftarrow 0; x_0 assigned;
f_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(x_0);
oldsymbol{H}_0 \leftarrow oldsymbol{I} or better oldsymbol{H}_0 \leftarrow 
abla oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(x_0)^{-1};
while ||f_k|| > \epsilon do
      — perform step
      s_k \leftarrow -H_k f_k:
     x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;
     f_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(x_{k+1});
      — update H
     z_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k f_{k+1};
     eta_k \leftarrow oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{z}_{k+1}; \ oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow ig(oldsymbol{I} - eta_k^{-1} oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} oldsymbol{s}_k^T ig) oldsymbol{H}_k;
end while
```



- If n is very large then the storing of H_k can be very expensive.
- Moreover when n is very large we hope to find a good solution with a number m of iteration with $m \ll n$
- So that instead of storing H_k we can decide to store the vectors z_k and s_k plus the scalars β_k . With this vectors and scalars we can write

$$oldsymbol{H}_k = ig(oldsymbol{I} - eta_{k-1} oldsymbol{z}_k oldsymbol{s}_{k-1}^Tig) \cdots ig(oldsymbol{I} - eta_1 oldsymbol{z}_2 oldsymbol{s}_1^Tig) ig(oldsymbol{I} - eta_0 oldsymbol{z}_1 oldsymbol{s}_0^Tig) oldsymbol{H}_0$$

- Assuming $H_0 = I$ or can be computed on the fly we must store only 2nm + m real number instead of n^2 saving a lot of memory.
- However we can do better. It is possible to eliminate z_k ad store only nm+m real numbers.



Non-linear problems in n variable

Non-linear problems in n variable

49 / 62

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Elimination of z_k

(1/3)

A step of the broyden iterative scheme can be rewritten as

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{d}_k &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_k \ oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{F}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \ oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} \ oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}_{k+1}} igg) oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned}$$

- 2 you can notice that z_k and d_k are similar and contains a lot of common information.
- 3 It is possible exploring the iteration to eliminate z_k from the update formula of H_k so that we can store the whole sequence without the vectors z_k .



Elimination of z_k

(2/3)

$$egin{aligned} m{d}_{k+1} &= m{H}_{k+1} m{f}_{k+1} = igg(m{I} + rac{m{z}_{k+1} m{d}_k^T}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}}igg) m{H}_k m{f}_{k+1} \ &= m{igg(m{I} + rac{m{z}_{k+1} m{d}_k^T}{m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}}igg) m{z}_{k+1} \ &= m{z}_{k+1} + rac{m{z}_{k+1} m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}} \ &= rac{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}} m{z}_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

substituting in the update formula for $oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}$ we obtain

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{oldsymbol{d}_{k+1} oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k}igg)oldsymbol{H}_k$$



Non-linear problems in n variable

51 / 62

The Broyden method

The solution of Broyden problem

Elimination of z_k

(3/3)

Substituting into the step of the broyden iterative scheme and assuming d_k known

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \ oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} \ oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{d$$

notice that x_{k+1} , f_{k+1} and z_{k+1} are not used in H_{k+1} so that only d_k and its length need to be stored.



Algorithm (The Broyden method (low memory usage))

```
k \leftarrow 0; x assigned;
m{f} \leftarrow m{\mathsf{F}}(m{x}); m{H}_0 \leftarrow 
abla m{\mathsf{F}}(m{x})^{-1}; m{d}_0 \leftarrow m{H}_0 m{f}; \ell_0 \leftarrow m{d}_{\mathsf{n}}^T m{d}_{\mathsf{0}};
while ||f|| > \epsilon do
     — perform step
     oldsymbol{x} \leftarrow oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{d}_k;
     f \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(x);
     — evaluate H_k f
     z \leftarrow H_0 f;
     for j = 0, 1, ..., k - 1 do
          oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{z} + ig[ (oldsymbol{d}_j^T oldsymbol{z})/\ell_j ig] oldsymbol{d}_{j+1};
     end for
     — update H_{k+1}
     oldsymbol{d}_{k+1} \leftarrow \left[\ell_k/(\ell_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z})\right] oldsymbol{z};
     \ell_{k+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{d}_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{d}_{k+1};
     k \leftarrow k+1:
end while
```



Non-linear problems in n variable

53 / 62

The dumped Broyden method

Outline

- The Newton Raphson
- 2 The Broyden method
- The dumped Broyden method



Algorithm (The dumped Broyden method)

$$k \leftarrow 0$$
; x_0 assigned; $f_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x_0)$; $H_0 \leftarrow \nabla \mathbf{F}(x_0)^{-1}$; while $\|f_k\| > \epsilon$ do $-$ compute search direction $d_k \leftarrow H_k f_k$; Approximate $\arg\min_{\lambda>0} \|\mathbf{F}(x_k - \lambda d_k)\|^2$ by line-search; $-$ perform step $s_k \leftarrow -\lambda_k d_k$; $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k$; $f_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{F}(x_{k+1})$; $y_k \leftarrow f_{k+1} - f_k$; $-$ update H_{k+1}

 $egin{aligned} m{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow m{H}_k + rac{(m{s}_k - m{H}_k m{y}_k) m{s}_k^T}{m{s}_k^T m{H}_k m{y}_k} m{H}_k; \ k &\leftarrow k+1. \end{aligned}$

end while



Non-linear problems in n variable

55 / 62

The dumped Broyden method

Elimination of z_k

(1/5)

Notice that

$$m{H}_km{y}_k = m{H}_km{f}_{k+1} - m{H}_km{f}_k = m{z}_{k+1} - m{d}_k,$$
 and $m{s}_k = -\lambda_km{d}_k$

and

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{(oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k) oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k} oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{(-\lambda_k oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} + oldsymbol{d}_k)(-\lambda_k oldsymbol{d}_k^T)}{-\lambda_k oldsymbol{d}_k^T (oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} - oldsymbol{d}_k)} oldsymbol{H}_k \\ &\leftarrow igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{(-\lambda_k oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} + oldsymbol{d}_k) oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k} oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned}
onumber igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - oldsymbol{z}_k) oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}_{k+1}} igg) oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned}$$



A step of the broyden iterative scheme can be rewritten as

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{d}_k &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_k \ oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{x}_k - \lambda_k oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \ oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} \ oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1) oldsymbol{d}_k) oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}_{k+1}} igg) oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned}$$



Non-linear problems in n variable

57 / 62

The dumped Broyden method

Elimination of z_k

(3/5)

$$egin{aligned} m{d}_{k+1} &= m{H}_{k+1} m{f}_{k+1} \ &= \left(m{I} + rac{(m{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1) m{d}_k) m{d}_k^T}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}}
ight) m{H}_k m{f}_{k+1} \ &= \left(m{I} + rac{(m{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1) m{d}_k) m{d}_k^T}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}}
ight) m{z}_{k+1} \ &= m{z}_{k+1} + rac{(m{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1) m{d}_k) m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}} \ &= rac{(m{d}_k^T m{d}_k) m{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1) (m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}) m{d}_k}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}} \ &= rac{(m{d}_k^T m{d}_k) m{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1) (m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}) m{d}_k}{m{d}_k^T m{d}_k - m{d}_k^T m{z}_{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$



(4/5)

Solving for z_{k+1}

$$oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} = rac{(oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{z}_{k+1})oldsymbol{d}_{k+1} - (\lambda_k - 1)(oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{z}_{k+1})oldsymbol{d}_k}{oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{d}_k}$$

and substituting in $oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}$ we have

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1)oldsymbol{d}_k)oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{z}_{k+1}}igg)oldsymbol{H}_k \ &\leftarrow igg(oldsymbol{I} + rac{(oldsymbol{d}_{k+1} + (\lambda_k - 1)oldsymbol{d}_k)oldsymbol{d}_k^T}{oldsymbol{d}_k^Toldsymbol{d}_k}igg)oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned}$$



Non-linear problems in n variable

59 / 62

The dumped Broyden method

Elimination of z_k

(5/5)

Substituting into the step of the broyden iterative scheme and assuming d_k known

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{x}_k - \lambda_k oldsymbol{d}_k \ oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \ oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f}_{k+1} \ oldsymbol{d}_{k+1}^T &\leftarrow egin{aligned} oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k \\ oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}_{k+1} \end{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow iggl(oldsymbol{I} + oldsymbol{d}_k oldsymbol{d}_k - oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}_{k+1}) oldsymbol{H}_k \end{aligned}$$

notice that x_{k+1} , f_{k+1} and z_{k+1} are not used in H_{k+1} so that only d_k and its length need to be stored.



Algorithm (The dumped Broyden method)

```
k \leftarrow 0: x assigned:
m{f} \leftarrow m{\mathsf{F}}(m{x}); m{H}_0 \leftarrow 
abla m{\mathsf{F}}(m{x})^{-1}; m{d}_0 \leftarrow m{H}_0 m{f}; \ell_0 \leftarrow m{d}_0^T m{d}_0;
while \|f_k\| > \epsilon do
     Approximate \arg\min_{\lambda>0} \|\mathbf{F}(x-\lambda d_k)\|^2 by line-search;
     — perform step
     x \leftarrow x - \lambda_k d_k; f \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(x);
     —- evaluate H_k f
     z \leftarrow H_0 f:
    for j = 0, 1, ..., k-1 do
          oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{z} + ig[(oldsymbol{d}_{j}^Toldsymbol{z})/\ell_jig]ig(oldsymbol{d}_{j+1} + (\lambda_j-1)oldsymbol{d}_j) ;
     end for
     — update H_{k+1}
    oldsymbol{d}_{k+1} \leftarrow ig[\ell_k oldsymbol{z} + (\lambda_k - 1)(oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}) oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z}) oldsymbol{d}_k^T oldsymbol{z});
    \ell_{k+1} \leftarrow \bar{\boldsymbol{d}}_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{d}_{k+1};
    k \leftarrow k+1:
end while
```

Non-linear problems in n variable

61 / 62

The dumped Broyden method

References

- J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch Introduction to numerical analysis Springer-Verlag, Texts in Applied Mathematics, **12**, 2002.
- J. E. Dennis, Jr. and Robert B. Schnabel
 Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and
 Nonlinear Equations
 SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 16, 1996.

