Quasi-Newton methods for minimization Lectures for PHD course on Non-linear equations and numerical optimization

Enrico Bertolazzi

DIMS - Università di Trento

March 2005



Quasi-Newton methods for minimization

Outline

Quasi Newton Method

- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class

Algorithm (General quasi-Newton algorithm)

 $k \leftarrow 0$: x_0 assigned; $g_0 \leftarrow \nabla f(x_0);$ $H_0 \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x_0)^{-1}$ while $\|\boldsymbol{q}_k\| > \epsilon$ do — compute search direction $d_k \leftarrow H_k q_k$: Approximate $\arg \min_{\lambda>0} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \lambda \boldsymbol{d}_k)$ by linsearch; - perform step $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x}_k - \lambda_k \boldsymbol{d}_k$ $g_{k+1} \leftarrow \nabla f(x_{k+1});$ — update H_{k+1} $H_{k+1} \leftarrow some_algorithm(H_k, x_k, x_{k+1}, g_k, g_{k+1});$ $k \leftarrow k+1$: end while



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Outline



2 The symmetric rank one update

- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



• Let B_k and approximation of the Hessian of f(x). Let x_k , x_{k+1} , g_k and g_{k+1} and if we use the Broyden update formula to force secant condition to B_{k+1} we obtain

$$oldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{B}_k + rac{(oldsymbol{y}_k - oldsymbol{B}_k oldsymbol{s}_k) oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k},$$

where $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ and $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$. By using Sherman–Morrison formula and setting $H_k = B_k^{-1}$ we obtain the update:

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k - rac{(oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k - oldsymbol{s}_k) oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k + o$$

• The previous update do not maintain symmetry. In fact if H_k is symmetric then H_{k+1} not necessarily is symmetric.

• To avoid loss of symmetry we can consider an update of the form:

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + oldsymbol{u}oldsymbol{u}^T$$

• Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse)

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{y}_k = oldsymbol{s}_k \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k + oldsymbol{u}oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{y}_k = oldsymbol{s}_k$$

from previous equality

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k+oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{u}u^Toldsymbol{y}_k &=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{u}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{u}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{u}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{u}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{v}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{v}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{v}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}$$

we obtain

$$oldsymbol{u} = rac{oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{y}_k} = rac{oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{\left(oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_kig)^{1/2}}$$

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト

ullet substituting the expression of u

$$oldsymbol{u} = rac{oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}{ig(oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_kig)^{1/2}}$$

in the update formula, we obtain

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{w}_k oldsymbol{w}_k^T}{oldsymbol{w}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \qquad oldsymbol{w}_k = oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k$$

- The previous update formula is the symmetric rank one formula (SR1).
- To be definite the previous formula needs $\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k \neq 0$. Moreover if $\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k < 0$ and \boldsymbol{H}_k is positive definite then \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} not necessarily is positive definite.
- Have H_k symmetric and positive definite is important for global convergence



This lemma is used in the forward theorems

Lemma

Let be

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^TAx - b^Tx + c$$

with $oldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Then

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egi$$

where $oldsymbol{g}_k =
abla \mathsf{q}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^T$.

Theorem (property of SR1 update)

Let be

$$q(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{x} + c$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let x_k and H_k produced by

() $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k;$

2 H_{k+1} updated by the SR1 formula

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{w}_k oldsymbol{w}_k^T}{oldsymbol{w}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \qquad oldsymbol{w}_k = oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k$$

If $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ are linearly independent then $H_n = A^{-1}$.



We prove by induction the hereditary property $H_i y_j = s_j$. BASE: For i = 1 is exactly the secant condition of the update. INDUCTION: Suppose the relation is valid for k > 0 the we prove that it is valid for k + 1. In fact, from the update formula

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_j + rac{oldsymbol{w}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_j}{oldsymbol{w}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}oldsymbol{w}_k \qquad oldsymbol{w}_k = oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k$$

by the induction hypothesis for $j < k \mbox{ and using lemma on slide 8} \label{eq:k}$ we have

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{w}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_j &= oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_j - oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_j - oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{0} \ &= oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j - oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{0} \ &= oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j - oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{0} \ &= oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j - oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{0} \ &= oldsymbol{0} \ &$$

so that $H_{k+1}y_j = H_ky_j = s_j$ for j = 0, 1, ..., k-1. For j = k we have $H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$ trivially by construction of the SR1 formula.



(日) (周) (三) (三)

To prove that $H_n = A^{-1}$ notice that

 $\boldsymbol{H}_n \boldsymbol{y}_j = \boldsymbol{s}_j, \qquad \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{s}_j = \boldsymbol{y}_j, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

(2/2).

-2

11 / 63

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

and combining the equality

$$H_n A s_j = s_j, \qquad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$$

due to the linear independence of s_i we have $H_n A = I$ i.e. $H_n = A^{-1}$.

Properties of SR1 update

- The SR1 update possesses the natural quadratic termination property (like CG).
- **2** SR1 satisfy the hereditary property $H_k y_j = s_j$ for j < k.
- SR1 does maintain the positive definitiveness of *H_k* if and only if *w_k^Ty_k* > 0. However this condition is difficult to guarantee.
- Sometimes w^T_ky_k becomes very small or 0. This results in serious numerical difficulty (roundoff) or even the algorithm is broken. We can avoid this breakdown by the following strategy

Breakdown workaround for SR1 update

• if $|\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k| \ge \epsilon ||\boldsymbol{w}_k^T|| ||\boldsymbol{y}_k||$ (i.e. the angle between \boldsymbol{w}_k and \boldsymbol{y}_k is far from 90 degree), then we update with the SR1 formula.

② Otherwise we set
$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{H}_k$$

- 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト

Properties of SR1 update

Theorem (Convergence of nonlinear SR1 update)

Let f(x) satisfying standard assumption. Let be $\{x_k\}$ a sequence of iterates such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x_{\star}$. Suppose we use the breakdown workaround for SR1 update and the steps $\{s_k\}$ are uniformly linearly independent. Then we have

$$\lim_{k o\infty} \left\|oldsymbol{H}_k -
abla^2 \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_\star)^{-1}
ight\| = \mathsf{0}.$$

A.R.Conn, N.I.M.Gould and P.L.Toint

Convergence of quasi-Newton matrices generated by the symmetric rank one update.

Mathematic of Computation 50 399-430, 1988.

Outline



2 The symmetric rank one update

3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update

- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class

- The SR1 update, although symmetric do not have minimum property like the Broyden update for the non symmetric case.
- The Broyden update

$$oldsymbol{A}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{A}_k + rac{(oldsymbol{y}_k - oldsymbol{A}_k oldsymbol{s}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k}$$

solve the minimization problem

$$egin{aligned} \|oldsymbol{A}_{k+1}-oldsymbol{A}_k\|_F & \leq \|oldsymbol{A}-oldsymbol{A}_k\|_F & ext{ for all }oldsymbol{A}s_k = oldsymbol{y}_k \end{aligned}$$

15 / 63

• If we solve a similar problem in the class of symmetric matrix we obtain the Powell-symmetric-Broyden (PSB) update



Lemma (Powell-symmetric-Broyden update)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and $s, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $s \neq \mathbf{0}$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ oldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n} \, | \, oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y}, \, oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{B}^T
ight\}$$

if $s^T m{y}
eq 0^a$ then there exists a unique matrix $m{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\left\|oldsymbol{A}-oldsymbol{B}
ight\|_{F}\leq\left\|oldsymbol{A}-oldsymbol{C}
ight\|_{F}$$
 for all $oldsymbol{C}\in\mathcal{B}$

moreover $oldsymbol{B}$ has the following form

$$oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{A} + rac{oldsymbol{\omega} oldsymbol{s}^T + oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{\omega}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s}} - (oldsymbol{\omega}^T oldsymbol{s}) rac{oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s}^T}{(oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s})^2} \qquad oldsymbol{\omega} = oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s}$$

then B is a rank two perturbation of the matrix A.

^aThis is true if Wolfe line search is performed



・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

First of all notice that $\mathcal B$ is not empty, in fact

$$rac{1}{s^Toldsymbol{y}}oldsymbol{y}oldsymbol{y}^T\in\mathcal{B} \qquad iggl[rac{1}{s^Toldsymbol{y}}oldsymbol{y}oldsymbol{y}^Tiggr]oldsymbol{s}=oldsymbol{y}$$

So that the problem is not empty. Next we reformulate the problem as a constrained minimum problem:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}}{\arg\min} \quad \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(A_{ij}-B_{ij})^{2} \quad \text{subject to } \boldsymbol{Bs}=\boldsymbol{y} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{B}=\boldsymbol{B}^{T}$$

The solution is a stationary point of the Lagrangian:

$$g(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{M}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{B}\|_F^2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{s}) + \sum_{i < j} \mu_{ij} (B_{ij} - B_{ji})$$

(1/11).

taking the gradient we have

$$rac{\partial}{\partial B_{ij}}g(oldsymbol{B},oldsymbol{\lambda},oldsymbol{B}) = A_{ij} - B_{ij} + \lambda_i s_j + M_{ij} = \mathbf{0}$$

where

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mu_{ij} & \text{if } i < j; \\ -\mu_{ij} & \text{if } i > j; \\ 0 & \text{If } i = j. \end{cases}$$

The previous equality can be written in matrix form as

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{s}^T + \boldsymbol{M}.$$

Quasi-Newton methods for minimization

문 > 문

(2/11).

Imposing symmetry for ${old B}$

$$oldsymbol{A}+oldsymbol{\lambda} s^T+oldsymbol{M}=oldsymbol{A}^T+soldsymbol{\lambda}^T+oldsymbol{M}^T=oldsymbol{A}+soldsymbol{\lambda}^T-oldsymbol{M}$$

(3/11).

< 3 > < 3 >

19 / 63

solving for ${\boldsymbol{M}}$ we have

$$M=rac{soldsymbol{\lambda}^T-oldsymbol{\lambda}s^T}{2}$$

substituting in \boldsymbol{B} we have

$$m{B}=m{A}+rac{sm{\lambda}^T+m{\lambda}s^T}{2}$$

Quasi-Newton methods for minimization

Imposing $s^T B s = s^T y$

$$egin{aligned} s^Tm{A}s + rac{m{s}^Tm{s}m{\lambda}^Tm{s} + m{s}^Tm{\lambda}m{s}^Tm{s}}{2} = m{s}^Tm{y} & \Rightarrow \ m{\lambda}^Tm{s} = (m{s}^Tm{\omega})/(m{s}^Tm{s}) \end{aligned}$$

where $oldsymbol{\omega} = oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} s.$ Imposing $oldsymbol{B} s = oldsymbol{y}$

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egi$$

next we compute the explicit form of B.

- E > - E >

Substituting

$$oldsymbol{\lambda} = rac{2 \omega}{s^T s} - rac{(s^T \omega) s}{(s^T s)^2} \qquad ext{in} \qquad oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{A} + rac{s oldsymbol{\lambda}^T + oldsymbol{\lambda} s^T}{2}$$

we obtain

$$oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{A} + rac{oldsymbol{\omega} oldsymbol{s}^T + oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{\omega}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s}} - (oldsymbol{\omega}^T oldsymbol{s}) rac{oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s}^T}{(oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s})^2} \qquad oldsymbol{\omega} = oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s}$$

next we prove that \boldsymbol{B} is the unique minimum.



(5/11).



The matrix \boldsymbol{B} is a minimum, in fact

$$\left\|oldsymbol{B}-oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F=\left\|rac{oldsymbol{\omega}s^T+soldsymbol{\omega}^T}{s^Ts}-(oldsymbol{\omega}^Ts)rac{ss^T}{(s^Ts)^2}
ight\|_F$$

To bound this norm we need the following properties of Frobenius norm:

•
$$\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{N}\|_F^2 = \|\boldsymbol{M}\|_F^2 + \|\boldsymbol{N}\|_F^2 - 2\boldsymbol{M}\cdot\boldsymbol{N};$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}\cdot\boldsymbol{N}=\sum_{ij}M_{ij}N_{ij}$ setting

$$M = rac{\omega s^T + s \omega^T}{s^T s} \qquad N = (\omega^T s) rac{s s^T}{(s^T s)^2}$$

now we compute $\|oldsymbol{M}\|_F$, $\|oldsymbol{N}\|_F$ and $oldsymbol{M}\cdotoldsymbol{N}.$



$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{N} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s}}{(\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s})^3} \sum_{ij} (\omega_i s_j + \omega_j s_i) s_i s_j \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s}}{(\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s})^3} \sum_{ij} \left[(\omega_i s_i) s_j^2 + (\omega_j s_j) s_i^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s}}{(\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s})^3} \left[\sum_i (\omega_i s_i) \sum_j s_j^2 + \sum_j (\omega_j s_j) \sum_i s_i^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s}}{(\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s})^3} \left[(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s}) (\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s}) + (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s}) (\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s}) \right] \\ &= \frac{2(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{s})^2}{(\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{s})^2} \end{split}$$



æ

▲口> ▲圖> ▲屋> ▲屋>

(8/11).

To bound $\|N\|_F^2$ and $\|M\|_F^2$ we need the following properties of Frobenius norm:

•
$$\|uv^T\|_F^2 = (u^Tu)(v^Tv);$$

• $\|uv^T + vu^T\|_F^2 = 2(u^Tu)(v^Tv) + 2(u^Tv)^2;$

Then we have

$$egin{aligned} &\|m{N}\|_F^2 = rac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^4} \left\|ss^T
ight\|_F^2 = rac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^4} (s^T s)^2 = rac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^2} \ &\|m{M}\|_F^2 = rac{\omega s^T + s\omega^T}{s^T s} = rac{2(\omega^T \omega)(s^T s) + 2(s^T \omega)^2}{(s^T s)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Putting all together and using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality $(a^T b \le ||a|| ||b||)$:

$$egin{aligned} \|m{M}-m{N}\|_F^2 &= rac{(\omega^Ts)^2}{(s^Ts)^2} + rac{2(\omega^T\omega)(s^Ts)+2(s^T\omega)^2}{(s^Ts)^2} - rac{4(\omega^Ts)^2}{(s^Ts)^2} \ &= rac{2(\omega^T\omega)(s^Ts)-(\omega^Ts)^2}{(s^Ts)^2} \ &\leq rac{\omega^T\omega}{s^Ts} = rac{\|m{\omega}\|^2}{\|s\|^2} & ext{[used Cauchy-Schwartz]} \end{aligned}$$

Using $oldsymbol{\omega} = oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A}s$ and noticing that $oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{C}s$ for all $oldsymbol{C} \in \mathcal{B}.$ so that

$$\|\omega\| = \|y - As\| = \|Cs - As\| = \|(C - A)s\|$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

(9/11).

(10/11).

To bound $\|(C-A)s\|$ we need the following property of Frobenius norm:

• $\left\| \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{x} \right\| \leq \left\| \boldsymbol{M} \right\|_{F} \left\| \boldsymbol{x} \right\|;$

in fact

$$egin{aligned} \|oldsymbol{M}oldsymbol{x}\|^2 &= \sum_i igg(\sum_j M_{ij} s_jigg)^2 \leq \sum_i igg(\sum_j M_{ij}^2igg)igg(\sum_k s_k^2igg) \ &= \|oldsymbol{M}\|_F^2 \|oldsymbol{s}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

using this inequality

$$egin{aligned} \|m{M}-m{N}\|_F &\leq rac{\|m{\omega}\|}{\|m{s}\|} = rac{\|(m{C}-m{A})m{s}\|}{\|m{s}\|} &\leq rac{\|m{C}-m{A}\|_F\,\|m{s}\|}{\|m{s}\|} \ . \end{aligned}$$
 we have $\|m{A}-m{B}\|_F &\leq \|m{C}-m{A}\|_F$ for all $m{C}\in\mathcal{B}.$



i.e

2

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Let B' and B'' two different minimum. Then $rac{1}{2}(B'+B'')\in\mathcal{B}$ moreover

$$\left\|oldsymbol{A}-rac{1}{2}(oldsymbol{B}'+oldsymbol{B}'')
ight\|_{F}\leqrac{1}{2}\left\|oldsymbol{A}-oldsymbol{B}'
ight\|_{F}+rac{1}{2}\left\|oldsymbol{A}-oldsymbol{B}''
ight\|_{F}$$

If the inequality is strict we have a contradiction. From the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality we have an equality only when $A - B' = \lambda(A - B'')$ so that

$$B' - \lambda B'' = (1 - \lambda)A$$

and

$$oldsymbol{B}'s - \lambda oldsymbol{B}''s = (1-\lambda)oldsymbol{A}s \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1-\lambda)oldsymbol{y} = (1-\lambda)oldsymbol{A}s$$

but this is true only when $\lambda = 1$, i.e. B' = B''.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

(11/11).

Algorithm (PSB quasi-Newton algorithm)

```
k \leftarrow 0:
x assigned; g \leftarrow \nabla f(x); B \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x);
while \|g\| > \epsilon do
     — compute search direction
    d \leftarrow B^{-1}q; [solve linear system Bd = q]
    Approximate \arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(x - \alpha d) by linsearch;
     — perform step
     \boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} - \alpha \boldsymbol{d}
     — update B_{k+1}
     \boldsymbol{\omega} \leftarrow \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (\alpha - 1)\boldsymbol{q}; \quad \boldsymbol{q} \leftarrow \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x});
    \beta \leftarrow (\alpha d^T d)^{-1}; \quad \gamma \leftarrow \beta^2 \alpha d^T \omega;
    oldsymbol{B} \leftarrow oldsymbol{B} - eta(oldsymbol{d}oldsymbol{\omega}^T + oldsymbol{\omega}oldsymbol{d}^T) + \gamma oldsymbol{d}oldsymbol{d}^T;
     k \leftarrow k + 1
end while
```

3

Outline



- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

6 The Broyden class

• The SR1 and PSB update maintains the symmetry but do not maintains the positive definitiveness of the matrix H_{k+1} . To recover this further property we can try the update of the form:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H}_k + \alpha \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}^T + \beta \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^T$$

• Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse)

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligne} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin$$

clearly this equation has not a unique solution. A natural choice for u and v is the following:

$$oldsymbol{u} = oldsymbol{s}_k \qquad oldsymbol{v} = oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k$$

 \bullet Solving for α and β the equation

$$lpha(oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k+eta(oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k)oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k-oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k$$

we obtain

$$lpha = rac{1}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \qquad eta = -rac{1}{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k}$$

• substituting in the updating formula we obtain the Davidon Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}$$

 Obviously this is only a possible choice and with other solution we obtain different update formulas. Next we must prove that under suitable condition the DFP update formula maintains positive definitiveness.



Positive definitiveness of DFP update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of DFP update)

Given H_k symmetric and positive definite, then the DFP update

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}$$

produce H_{k+1} positive definite if and only if $s_k^T y_k > 0$.

Remark (Wolfe \Rightarrow DFP update is SPD)

Expanding $s_k^T y_k > 0$ we have $\nabla f(x_{k+1})s_k > \nabla f(x_k)s_k$. Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with $\alpha_k > 0$. But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is $\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k)p_k \ge c_2 \nabla f(x_k)p_k$ with $0 < c_2 < 1$. But this imply:

$$abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1})oldsymbol{s}_k \geq oldsymbol{c_2}
abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k > \mathsf{O} \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{s}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k > \mathsf{O} \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{s}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k = \mathsf{O} \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{s}_k) oldsymbol{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{s}_k \in \mathsf{O} \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{s}_k) \oldsymbol{s}_k \in \mathsf{O} \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{s}_k) \oldsymbol{s}_k$$





Let be $s_k^T y_k > 0$: consider a $z \neq 0$ then

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_k - rac{oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k}ig)oldsymbol{z} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_koldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}oldsymbol{z} \ &= oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z} - rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k)(oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z})}{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}ig) oldsymbol{z} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{z}} \ &= oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z} - rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k)(oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z})}{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}oldsymbol{z}} \ &= oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z} - rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k)(oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z})}{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}} \ &= oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{z} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k \ \end{bmatrix} \ &= oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{z} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^Toldsymbol{s} + oldsymb$$

 H_k is SPD so that there exists the Cholesky decomposition $LL^T = H_k$. Defining $a = L^T z$ and $b = L^T y_k$ we can write

$$oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{z} = rac{(oldsymbol{a}^Toldsymbol{a}) - (oldsymbol{a}^Toldsymbol{b})^2}{oldsymbol{b}^Toldsymbol{b}} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}$$

from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have $(a^Ta)(b^Tb) \ge (a^Tb)^2$ so that $z^TH_{k+1}z \ge 0$.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

To prove strict inequality remember from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that $(a^T a)(b^T b) = (a^T b)^2$ if and only if $a = \lambda b$, i.e.

$$oldsymbol{L}^Toldsymbol{z} = \lambdaoldsymbol{L}^Toldsymbol{y}_k \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad oldsymbol{z} = \lambdaoldsymbol{y}_k$$

but in this case

$$rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} = \lambda^2 rac{(oldsymbol{y}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} > 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{z} > 0.$$

Let be $z^T H_{k+1} z > 0$ for all $z \neq 0$: Choosing $z = y_k$ we have

$$0 < oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{y}_k = rac{(oldsymbol{y}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} = oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k$$





Algorithm (DFP quasi-Newton algorithm)

```
k \leftarrow 0:
x assigned; q \leftarrow \nabla f(x); H \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1};
while \|\boldsymbol{q}\| > \epsilon do
     - compute search direction
     d \leftarrow Hq:
     Approximate \arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(x - \alpha d) by linsearch;
     — perform step
     x \leftarrow x - \alpha d:
     — update H_{k+1}
     oldsymbol{y} \leftarrow 
abla {\mathsf{f}}(oldsymbol{x}) - oldsymbol{g}; \hspace{0.3cm} oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}oldsymbol{y}; \hspace{0.3cm} oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow 
abla {\mathsf{f}}(oldsymbol{x});
     \boldsymbol{H} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H} - \alpha \frac{\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{d}}{\boldsymbol{d}^T\boldsymbol{u}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^T}{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{z}};
     k \leftarrow k + 1:
end while
```

~

- 4 聞 と 4 速 と 4 速 と -

Theorem (property of DFP update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by exact line-search. Then for j < k we have

- **9** $g_k^T s_j = 0;$ [orthogonality property]**9** $H_k y_j = s_j;$ [hereditary property]**9** $s_k^T A s_j = 0;$ [conjugate direction property]
- The method terminate (i.e. $\nabla f(x_m) = 0$) at $x_m = x_*$ with $m \le n$. If n = m then $H_n = A^{-1}$.



3

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨトー

Points (1), (2) and (3) are proved by induction. The base of induction is obvious, let be the theorem true for k > 0. Due to exact line search we have:

$$oldsymbol{g}_{k+1}^Toldsymbol{s}_k={ extsf{0}}$$

moreover by induction for j < k we have $\boldsymbol{g}_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{s}_j = \boldsymbol{0}$, in fact:

$$egin{aligned} m{g}_{k+1}^T m{s}_j &= m{g}_j^T m{s}_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{g}_{i+1} - m{g}_i)^T m{s}_j \ &= 0 + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{A}(m{x}_{i+1} - m{x}_\star) - m{A}(m{x}_i - m{x}_\star))^T m{s}_j \ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{A}(m{x}_{i+1} - m{x}_i))^T m{s}_j \ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} m{s}_i^T m{A} m{s}_j = 0. \quad & [ext{induction} + ext{conjugacy prop.} \end{aligned}$$



By using
$$m{s}_{k+1} = -lpha_{k+1}m{H}_{k+1}m{g}_{k+1}$$
 we have $m{s}_{k+1}^Tm{A}m{s}_j = 0$, in fact:

$$s_{k+1}^{T} A s_{j} = -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^{T} H_{k+1} (A x_{j+1} - A x_{j})$$

$$= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^{T} H_{k+1} (A (x_{j+1} - x_{\star}) - A (x_{j} - x_{\star}))$$

$$= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^{T} H_{k+1} (g_{j+1} - g_{j})$$

$$= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^{T} H_{k+1} y_{j}$$

$$= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^{T} s_{j} \quad [\text{induction + hereditary prop.}]$$

$$= 0$$

notice that we have used $As_j = y_j$.

4

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Due to DFP construction we have

$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and DFP formula for j < k we have, $s_k^T y_j = s_k^T A s_j = 0$, moreover

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} eta_{k+1}eta_j &= eta_kegin{aligned} eta_ke$$

(3/4).

(4/4).

Finally if m = n we have s_j with $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$oldsymbol{H}_noldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{H}_noldsymbol{y}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k$$

i.e. we have

$$H_n A s_k = s_k, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

due to linear independence of $\{s_k\}$ follows that $H_n = A^{-1}$.



Outline



- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

6 The Broyden class

- Another update which maintain symmetry and positive definitiveness is the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS,1970) rank 2 update.
- This update was independently discovered by the four authors.
- A convenient way to introduce BFGS is by the concept of duality.
- Duality means that if I found an update for the Hessian, say

$$oldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(oldsymbol{B}_k,oldsymbol{s}_k,oldsymbol{y}_k)$$

which satisfy $B_{k+1}s_k = y_k$ (the secant condition on the Hessian). Then by exchanging $B_k \rightleftharpoons H_k$ and $s_k \rightleftharpoons y_k$ we obtain the update for the inverse of the Hessian, i.e.

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(oldsymbol{H}_k,oldsymbol{y}_k,oldsymbol{s}_k)$$

which satisfy $H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$ (the secant condition on the inverse of the Hessian).



• Starting from the Davidon Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}$$

by the duality we obtain the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update formula

$$oldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{B}_k + rac{oldsymbol{y}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{B}_k oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{S}_k^T oldsymbol{B}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{B}_k oldsymbol{s}_k}$$

• The BFGS formula written in this way is not useful in the case of large problem. We need an equivalent formula for the inverse of the approximate Hessian. This can be done with a generalization of the Sherman-Morrison formula.



43 / 63

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula permit to explicit write the inverse of a matrix changed with a rank k perturbation

Proposition (Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula)

$$(A + UV^{T})^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(I + V^{T}U)^{-1}V^{T}A^{-1}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{U} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{u}_1, oldsymbol{u}_2, \dots, oldsymbol{u}_k \end{bmatrix} oldsymbol{V} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{v}_1, oldsymbol{v}_2, \dots, oldsymbol{v}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

The Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula can be checked by a direct calculation.

(1/2)

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

Remark

The previous formula can be written as:

$$\left(oldsymbol{A} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} oldsymbol{u}_{i} oldsymbol{v}_{i}^{T}
ight)^{-1} = oldsymbol{A}^{-1} - oldsymbol{A}^{-1} oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{C}^{-1} oldsymbol{V}^{T} oldsymbol{A}^{-1}$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \boldsymbol{v}_i^T \boldsymbol{u}_j \qquad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$



(2/2)

The BFGS update for \boldsymbol{H}

Proposition

By using the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula the BFGS update for \boldsymbol{H} becomes:

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligne} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin$$

Or equivalently

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\right) \boldsymbol{H}_k \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\right) + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} \tag{B}$$

Consider the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula with k=2 and

$$m{u}_1 = m{v}_1 = rac{m{y}_k}{(m{s}_k^Tm{y}_k)^{1/2}} \qquad m{u}_2 = -m{v}_2 = rac{m{B}_km{s}_k}{(m{s}_k^Tm{B}_km{s}_k)^{1/2}}$$

in this way (setting $oldsymbol{H}_k = oldsymbol{B}_k^{-1})$ we have

$$C_{11} = 1 + oldsymbol{v}_1^Toldsymbol{u}_1 = 1 + rac{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k}$$

$$C_{22} = 1 + oldsymbol{v}_2^Toldsymbol{u}_2 = -rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{B}_koldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{B}_koldsymbol{s}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{B}_koldsymbol{s}_k} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$C_{12} = m{v}_1^Tm{u}_2 \qquad = rac{m{y}_k^Tm{B}_km{s}_k}{(m{s}_k^Tm{y}_k)^{1/2}(m{s}_k^Tm{B}_km{s}_k)^{1/2}} = rac{(m{s}_k^Tm{B}_km{s}_k)^{1/2}}{(m{s}_k^Tm{y}_k)^{1/2}}$$

$$C_{21} = v_2^T u_1 = -C_{12}$$



< 112 ▶



In this way the matric C has the form

$$egin{aligned} m{C} &= egin{pmatrix} eta & lpha \ -lpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} & m{C}^{-1} &= rac{1}{lpha^2} egin{pmatrix} 0 & -lpha \ lpha & eta \end{pmatrix} \ eta &= 1 + rac{m{y}_k^T m{H}_k m{y}_k}{m{s}_k^T m{y}_k} & lpha &= rac{(m{s}_k^T m{B}_k m{s}_k)^{1/2}}{(m{s}_k^T m{y}_k)^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

where setting $ilde{m{U}}=m{H}_km{U}$ and $ilde{m{V}}=m{H}_km{V}$ where

$$\widetilde{m{u}}_i = m{H}_km{u}_i$$
 and $\widetilde{m{v}}_i = m{H}_km{v}_i$ $i=1,2$

we have

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &\leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k - oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{C}^{-1} oldsymbol{V}^T oldsymbol{H}_k &= oldsymbol{H}_k - oldsymbol{ ilde U} oldsymbol{C}^{-1} oldsymbol{ ilde V}^T \ &= oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{1}{lpha} (- \widetilde{oldsymbol{ ilde u}}_1 \widetilde{oldsymbol{v}}_2^T + \widetilde{oldsymbol{u}}_2 \widetilde{oldsymbol{v}}_1^T) - rac{eta}{lpha^2} \widetilde{oldsymbol{u}}_2 \widetilde{oldsymbol{v}}_2^T \end{aligned}$$

(2/3).

(3/3).

Substituting the values of α , β , $\widetilde{u}{}'s$ and $\widetilde{v}{}'s$ we have we have

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H}_k - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T + \boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} \bigg(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\bigg)$$

At this point the update formula (B) is a straightforward calculation.



Positive definitiveness of BFGS update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of BFGS update)

Given H_k symmetric and positive definite, then the DFP update

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \Big(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k}\Big)oldsymbol{H}_k \Big(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{y}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k}\Big) + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k}\Big)$$

produce H_{k+1} positive definite if and only if $s_k^T y_k > 0$.

Remark (Wolfe \Rightarrow BFGS update is SPD)

Expanding $s_k^T y_k > 0$ we have $\nabla f(x_{k+1})s_k > \nabla f(x_k)s_k$. Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with $\alpha_k > 0$. But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is $\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k)p_k \ge c_2 \nabla f(x_k)p_k$ with $0 < c_2 < 1$. But this imply:

$$abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_{k+1})oldsymbol{s}_k \geq oldsymbol{c}_2 \,
abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k >
abla \mathsf{f}(oldsymbol{x}_k)oldsymbol{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k > 0.$$



Let be $s_k^T y_k > 0$: consider a $z \neq 0$ then

$$oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{z} = oldsymbol{w}^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{w} + rac{(oldsymbol{z}^Toldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{z}}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{z}}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{z}}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{z}}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{z}}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{z}}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{y}_k orall oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{w}_k + oldsymbol{z} + oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k \ oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{s} - oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}$$

In order to have $\boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{z} = 0$ we must have $\boldsymbol{w} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{s}_k = 0$. But $\boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{s}_k = 0$ imply $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{z}$ and this imply $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{0}$.

Let be $\boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{z} > 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{z} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$: Choosing $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{y}_k$ we have

$$0 < oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{y}_k = rac{(oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k)^2}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} = oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k$$

and thus $\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k > 0.$

Algorithm (BFGS quasi-Newton algorithm)

```
k \leftarrow 0:
x assigned; g \leftarrow \nabla f(x); H \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}:
while \|\boldsymbol{q}\| > \epsilon do
                    - compute search direction
                   d \leftarrow Hq:
                   Approximate \arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(x - \alpha d) by linsearch;
                    — perform step
                   x \leftarrow x - \alpha d:
                    — update H_{k+1}
                  egin{aligned} oldsymbol{y} \leftarrow 
abla {\mathsf{f}}(oldsymbol{x}) - oldsymbol{g}; \quad oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} oldsymbol{y}; \quad oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow 
abla {\mathsf{f}}(oldsymbol{x}); \quad oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow oldsymbol{y}(oldsymbol{x}); \quad oldsymbol{H} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} - oldsymbol{z} oldsymbol{d}^T + oldsymbol{d} oldsymbol{z}^T + oldsymbol{d} o
                    k \leftarrow k + 1:
end while
```

3

- 《圖》 《문》 《문》

Theorem (property of BFGS update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

•
$$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;$$

• $H_{k+1} \leftarrow \left(I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k}\right) H_k \left(I - \frac{y_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k}\right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k};$
where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by exact line-search. Then
for $j < k$ we have
• $g_k^T s_j = 0;$ [orthogonality property]
• $H_k y_j = s_j;$ [hereditary property]
• $s_k^T A s_j = 0;$ [conjugate direction property]
• The method terminate (i a $\nabla f(m_k) = 0$) at $m_k = m_k$ with

3 The method terminate (i.e. $\nabla f(x_m) = 0$) at $x_m = x_{\star}$ with $m \leq n$. If n = m then $H_n = A^{-1}$.



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Points (1), (2) and (3) are proved by induction. The base of induction is obvious, let be the theorem true for k > 0. Due to exact line search we have:

$$oldsymbol{g}_{k+1}^Toldsymbol{s}_k={\sf 0}$$

moreover by induction for j < k we have $g_{k+1}^T s_j = 0$, in fact:

$$egin{aligned} m{g}_{k+1}^T m{s}_j &= m{g}_j^T m{s}_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{g}_{i+1} - m{g}_i)^T m{s}_j \ &= 0 + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{A}(m{x}_{i+1} - m{x}_\star) - m{A}(m{x}_i - m{x}_\star))^T m{s}_j \ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{A}(m{x}_{i+1} - m{x}_i))^T m{s}_j \ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{A}(m{x}_{i+1} - m{x}_i))^T m{s}_j \ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} m{s}_i^T m{A} m{s}_j = 0. \quad \end{tabular}$$



By using
$$s_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1}H_{k+1}g_{k+1}$$
 we have $s_{k+1}^TAs_j = 0$, in fact:
 $s_{k+1}^TAs_j = -\alpha_{k+1}g_{k+1}^TH_{k+1}(Ax_{j+1} - Ax_j)$
 $= -\alpha_{k+1}g_{k+1}^TH_{k+1}(A(x_{j+1} - x_\star) - A(x_j - x_\star))$
 $= -\alpha_{k+1}g_{k+1}^TH_{k+1}(g_{j+1} - g_j)$
 $= -\alpha_{k+1}g_{k+1}^TH_{k+1}y_j$
 $= -\alpha_{k+1}g_{k+1}^Ts_j$ [induction + hereditary prop.]
 $= 0$

notice that we have used $As_j = y_j$.

4

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Due to BFGS construction we have

$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and BFGS formula for j < k we have, $s_k^T y_j = s_k^T A s_j = 0$,

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}oldsymbol{y}_j &= \Big(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \Big)oldsymbol{H}_k \Big(oldsymbol{y}_j - rac{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_j}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} oldsymbol{y}_k \Big) + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_j}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \Big) \ &= \Big(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \Big)oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_j + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \Big) oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_j + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} \Big) \ &= oldsymbol{s}_j - rac{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_j}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k \ &= oldsymbol{s}_j \ &= oldsymbol{s}_j \ \end{array}$$

Quasi-Newton methods for minimization

æ

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

(3/4).

(4/4).

Finally if m = n we have s_j with j = 0, 1, ..., n-1 are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$oldsymbol{H}_noldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{s}_k=oldsymbol{H}_noldsymbol{y}_k=oldsymbol{s}_k$$

i.e. we have

$$H_n A s_k = s_k, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

due to linear independence of $\{s_k\}$ follows that $H_n = A^{-1}$.





Quasi Newton Method

- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class

• The DFP update

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H}_k - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T + \boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} \bigg(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\bigg)$$

and BFGS update

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}$$

maintains the symmetry and positive definitiveness.

• The following update

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{ heta} \leftarrow (1- heta)oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + hetaoldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

maintain for any θ the symmetry, and for $\theta \in [0, 1]$ also the positive definitiveness.



- 4 @ ▶ 4 @ ▶ 4 @ ▶

Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update)

Given \mathbf{H}_k symmetric and positive definite, then the Broyden Class update

$$oldsymbol{H}^{ heta}_{k+1} \leftarrow (1- heta)oldsymbol{H}^{DFP}_{k+1} + hetaoldsymbol{H}^{BFGS}_{k+1}$$

produce H_{k+1}^{θ} positive definite for any $\theta \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $s_k^T y_k > 0$.

Theorem (property of Broyden Class update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

]
$$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k;$$

2
$$oldsymbol{H}^{ heta}_{k+1} \leftarrow (1- heta)oldsymbol{H}^{DFP}_{k+1} + hetaoldsymbol{H}^{BFGS}_{k+1};$$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by exact line-search. Then for j < k we have

- $\boldsymbol{g}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_j = 0;$ [orthogonality property]
- $s_k^T A s_j = 0; [conjugate direction property]$
- The method terminate (i.e. ∇f(x_m) = 0) at x_m = x_{*} with m ≤ n. If n = m then H_n = A⁻¹.



• The Broyden Class update canbe written as

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}^{ heta}_{k+1} &= oldsymbol{H}^{DFP}_{k+1} + hetaoldsymbol{w}_koldsymbol{w}_k^T \ &= oldsymbol{H}^{BFGS}_{k+1} + (heta-1)oldsymbol{w}_koldsymbol{w}_k^T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{w}_k = ig(oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_kig)^{1/2} \Big[rac{oldsymbol{s}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^Toldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k}\Big]$$

• For particular values of θ we obtain

•
$$\theta = 0$$
, the DFP update
• $\theta = 1$, the BFGS update
• $\theta = s_k^T y_k / (s_k - H_k y_k)^T y_k$ the SR1 update
• $\theta = (1 \pm (y_k^T H_k y_k / s_k^T y_k))^{-1}$ the Hoshino update



J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch Introduction to numerical analysis Springer-Verlag, Texts in Applied Mathematics, **12**, 2002.

J. E. Dennis, Jr. and Robert B. Schnabel Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 16, 1996.

