Quasi-Newton methods for minimization Lectures for PHD course on

Non-linear equations and numerical optimization

Enrico Bertolazzi

DIMS - Università di Trento

March 2005

Algorithm (General quasi-Newton algorithm)

```
k \leftarrow 0:
x_0 assigned;
q_0 \leftarrow \nabla f(x_0);
H_0 \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x_0)^{-1};
while ||q_k|| > \epsilon do
   - compute search direction
   d_k \leftarrow H_k q_k;
   Approximate \arg \min_{k>0} f(x_k - \lambda d_k) by linsearch;
    - perform step
   x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k - \lambda_k d_k;
   q_{k+1} \leftarrow \nabla f(x_{k+1});
   — update H_{k+1}
    H_{k+1} \leftarrow some\_algorithm(H_k, x_k, x_{k+1}, q_k, q_{k+1});

← k + 1:

end while
```

Outline

- Quasi Newton Method
- The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update



The symmetric rank one update

Outline

- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- The Broyden class







$$\boldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{B}_k + \frac{(\boldsymbol{y}_k - \boldsymbol{B}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k) \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_k},$$

where $s_k=x_{k+1}-x_k$ and $y_k=g_{k+1}-g_k$. By using Sherman–Morrison formula and setting $H_k=B_k^{-1}$ we obtain the update:

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k - \frac{(H_k y_k - s_k)s_k^T}{s_k^T s_k + s_k^T H_k g_{k+1}} H_k$$

ullet The previous update do not maintain symmetry. In fact if $m{H}_k$ is symmetric then $m{H}_{k+1}$ not necessarily is symmetric.

 To avoid loss of symmetry we can consider an update of the form:

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + uu^T$$

Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse)

$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$$
 \Rightarrow $H_ky_k + uu^Ty_k = s_k$

from previous equality

$$y_k^T H_k y_k + y_k^T u u^T y_k = y_k^T s_k$$

 $y_k^T u = (y_k^T s_k - y_k^T H_k y_k)^{1/2}$

we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k - \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} = \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k - \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_k - \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k\right)^{1/2}}$$

substituting the expression of u

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k - \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_k - \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k\right)^{1/2}}$$

in the update formula, we obtain

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \frac{w_k w_k^T}{w_t^T y_k}$$
 $w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$

- The previous update formula is the symmetric rank one formula (SR1).
- To be definite the previous formula needs $w_k^T y_k \neq 0$. Moreover if $w_k^T y_k < 0$ and H_k is positive definite then H_{k+1} not necessarily is positive definite.
- Have H_k symmetric and positive definite is important for global convergence

This lemma is used in the forward theorems

Lemma

Let be

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax - b^{T}x + c$$

with $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Then

$$y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$$

= $Ax_{k+1} - b - Ax_k + b$
= As_k

where
$$oldsymbol{g}_k =
abla \mathbf{q}(oldsymbol{x}_k)^T$$

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax - b^{T}x + c$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let x_k and H_k produced by

- $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$
- $oldsymbol{0}$ $oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}$ updated by the SR1 formula

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \frac{w_k w_k^T}{w^T y_k}$$
 $w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$

If $s_0, \, s_1, \, \ldots, \, s_{n-1}$ are linearly independent then $H_n = A^{-1}$

Proof.

To prove that $H_n = A^{-1}$ notice that

$$H_n y_j = s_j,$$
 $A s_j = y_j,$ $j = 0, 1, ..., n-1$

and combining the equality

$$H_n A s_i = s_i$$
, $j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$

due to the linear independence of s_i we have $H_nA=I$ i.e. $H_n=A^{-1}.$

etric rank one update

Proof

(1)

We prove by induction the hereditary property $H_i y_j = s_j$. BASE: For i=1 is exactly the secant condition of the update. INDUCTION: Suppose the relation is valid for k>0 the we prove that it is valid for k+1. In fact, from the update formula

$$H_{k+1}y_j = H_ky_j + \frac{w_k^Ty_j}{w_k^Ty_k}w_k$$
 $w_k = s_k - H_ky_k$

by the induction hypothesis for j < k and using lemma on slide 8 we have

$$w_k^T y_j = s_k^T y_j - y_k^T H_k y_j = s_k^T y_j - y_k^T s_j$$
$$= y_k^T A y_j - y_k^T A y_j = 0$$

so that $\ H_{k+1}y_j=H_ky_j=s_j$ for $j=0,1,\ldots,k-1.$ For j=k we have $H_{k+1}y_k=s_k$ trivially by construction of the SR1 formula.

Properties of SR1 update

The symmetric rank one update

ermination

(1/2)

- The SR1 update possesses the natural quadratic termination property (like CG).
- lacktriangle SR1 satisfy the hereditary property $H_k y_j = s_j$ for j < k.
- SR1 does maintain the positive definitiveness of H_k if and only if w^T_ky_k > 0. However this condition is difficult to guarantee.
- ullet Sometimes $w_k^T y_k$ becomes very small or 0. This results in serious numerical difficulty (roundoff) or even the algorithm is broken. We can avoid this breakdown by the following strategy

Breakdown workaround for SR1 update

- **a** if $|w_k^T y_k| \ge \epsilon ||w_k^T|| ||y_k||$ (i.e. the angle between w_k and y_k is far from 90 degree), then we update with the SR1 formula.
- Otherwise we set $H_{k+1} = H_k$.

The symmetric rank one update

Theorem (Convergence of nonlinear SR1 update)

Let f(x) satisfying standard assumption. Let be $\{x_k\}$ a sequence of iterates such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x_*$. Suppose we use the breakdown workaround for SR1 update and the steps $\{s_k\}$ are uniformly linearly independent. Then we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} ||H_k - \nabla^2 f(x_*)^{-1}|| = 0.$$

A.R.Conn, N.I.M.Gould and P.L.Toint Convergence of quasi-Newton matrices generated by the symmetric rank one update.

Mathematic of Computation 50 399-430, 1988.



The Broyden update

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{A}_k + \frac{(\boldsymbol{y}_k - \boldsymbol{A}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k) \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{s}_k}$$

solve the minimization problem

$$\|A_{k+1} - A_k\|_F \le \|A - A_k\|_F$$
 for all $As_k = y_k$

 If we solve a similar problem in the class of symmetric matrix we obtain the Powell-symmetric-Broyden (PSB) update

Outline

- Quasi Newton Metho
- The symmetric rank one updat
- The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- The Broyden clas

Lemma (Powell-symmetric-Broyden update)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and $s,y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $s \neq \mathbf{0}$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \, | \, \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{y}, \, \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}^T \right\}$$

if $s^Ty \neq 0^s$ then there exists a unique matrix $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{B}\|_F \leq \|\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{C}\|_F \qquad \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{C} \in \mathcal{B}$$

moreover B has the following form

$$B = A + rac{\omega s^T + s \omega^T}{s^T s} - (\omega^T s) rac{s s^T}{(s^T s)^2} \qquad \omega = y - A s$$

then ${m B}$ is a rank two perturbation of the matrix ${m A}.$

"This is true if Wolfe line search is performed

$$rac{1}{s^T y} y y^T \in \mathcal{B} \qquad \left[rac{1}{s^T y} y y^T \right] s = y$$

So that the problem is not empty. Next we reformulate the problem as a constrained minimum problem:

$$\mathop{\arg\min}_{{m B}\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}}\quad \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n (A_{ij}-B_{ij})^2\quad \text{subject to }{m B}{m s}={m y} \text{ and }{m B}={m B}^T$$

The solution is a stationary point of the Lagrangian

$$g(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{M}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{B}\|_F^2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{s}) + \sum_{i < j} \mu_{ij} (B_{ij} - B_{ji})$$

(1/11).

Proof.

of.

taking the gradient we have
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B_{ij}}g(\pmb B,\pmb \lambda,\pmb B)=A_{ij}-B_{ij}+\lambda_is_j+M_{ij}=0$$

where

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mu_{ij} & \text{if } i < j; \\ -\mu_{ij} & \text{if } i > j; \\ 0 & \text{If } i = i. \end{cases}$$

The previous equality can be written in matrix form as

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{s}^T + \boldsymbol{M}.$$

Proof.

(3/11)

Imposing symmetry for B

$$A + \lambda s^T + M = A^T + s\lambda^T + M^T = A + s\lambda^T - M$$

solving for M we have

$$M = \frac{s\lambda^T - \lambda s^T}{2}$$

substituting in \boldsymbol{B} we have

$$B = A + \frac{s\lambda^T + \lambda s^T}{2}$$

Proof.
Imposing $s^T B s = s^T u$

T

 $egin{aligned} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{\lambda} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} + oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s} \\ & oldsymbol{$

$$\lambda^T s = (s^T \omega)/(s^T s)$$

where $oldsymbol{\omega} = oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{s}$. Imposing $oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{s} = oldsymbol{y}$

$$As + rac{s\lambda^T s + \lambda s^T s}{2} = y$$

$$\lambda = rac{2\omega}{s^T s} - rac{(s^T \omega)s}{(s^T s)^2}$$

next we compute the explicit form of ${m B}$.

we obtain

$$B = A + rac{\omega s^T + s\omega^T}{s^T s} - (\omega^T s) rac{s s^T}{(s^T s)^2} \qquad \omega = y - As$$

next we prove that B is the unique minimum.

Proof

The matrix B is a minimum, in fact

$$\left\|oldsymbol{B} - oldsymbol{A}
ight\|_F = \left\|rac{oldsymbol{\omega} oldsymbol{s}^T + oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{\omega}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s}} - ig(oldsymbol{\omega}^T oldsymbol{s}ig) rac{oldsymbol{s} oldsymbol{s}^T}{oldsymbol{s}^T oldsymbol{s}^T}
ight\|_F$$

To bound this norm we need the following properties of Frobenius • $\|M - N\|_F^2 = \|M\|_F^2 + \|N\|_F^2 - 2M \cdot N$;

where
$$M \cdot N = \sum_{ij} M_{ij} N_{ij}$$
 setting

$$M = rac{\omega s^T + s \omega^T}{s^T s}$$
 $N = (\omega^T s) rac{s s^T}{(s^T s)^2}$

now we compute $\|M\|_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$, $\|N\|_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$ and $M\cdot N$

Proof.

 $M \cdot N = \frac{\omega^T s}{(s^T s)^3} \sum (\omega_i s_j + \omega_j s_i) s_i s_j$ $= \frac{\omega^T s}{(s^T s)^3} \sum \left[(\omega_i s_i) s_j^2 + (\omega_j s_j) s_i^2 \right]$ $= \frac{\omega^T s}{(s^T s)^3} \left[\sum_i (\omega_i s_i) \sum_i s_j^2 + \sum_i (\omega_j s_j) \sum_i s_i^2 \right]$ $= \frac{\omega^T s}{(s^T s)^3} \Big[(\omega^T s)(s^T s) + (\omega^T s)(s^T s) \Big]$

Proof

To bound $\| {m N} \|_F^2$ and $\| {m M} \|_F^2$ we need the following properties of

Frobenius norm • $||uv^T||_r^2 = (u^Tu)(v^Tv)$;

 $||uv^T + vu^T||_F^2 = 2(u^Tu)(v^Tv) + 2(u^Tv)^2$

Then we have

 $\|N\|_F^2 = \frac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^4} \|ss^T\|_F^2 = \frac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^4} (s^T s)^2 = \frac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^2}$

 $\|M\|_F^2 = \frac{\omega s^T + s\omega^T}{s^T s} = \frac{2(\omega^T \omega)(s^T s) + 2(s^T \omega)^2}{(s^T s)^2}$



Putting all together and using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality $(a^Tb \le ||a|| ||b||)$:

$$\|M - N\|_F^2 = \frac{(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^2} + \frac{2(\omega^T \omega)(s^T s) + 2(s^T \omega)^2}{(s^T s)^2} - \frac{4(\omega^T s)^2}{(s^T s)^2}$$

$$= \frac{(s^T s)^2}{(s^T \omega)^2}$$

$$< \frac{\omega^T \omega}{s^T \omega} = \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{s^T \omega}$$
 [used Cause

$$\leq \frac{\omega^T \omega}{s^T s} = \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{\|s\|^2} \qquad \text{[used Cauchy-Schwartz]}$$

Using $\omega = u - As$ and noticing that u = Cs for all $C \in \mathcal{B}$, so that

$$\lVert \omega
Vert = \lVert y - As
Vert = \lVert Cs - As
Vert = \lVert (C - A)s
Vert$$

Proof

To bound ||(C - A)s|| we need the following property of

Frobenius norm:
•
$$\|Mx\| \le \|M\|_E \|x\|$$
;

in fact

$$\begin{split} \|\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{x}\|^2 &= \sum_i \Big(\sum_j M_{ij} s_j\Big)^2 \leq \sum_i \Big(\sum_j M_{ij}^2\Big) \Big(\sum_k s_k^2\Big) \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{M}\|_F^2 \|\boldsymbol{s}\|^2 \end{split}$$

using this inequality

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{M}-\boldsymbol{N}\right\|_{F} \leq \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{s}\right\|} = \frac{\left\|(\boldsymbol{C}-\boldsymbol{A})\boldsymbol{s}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{s}\right\|} \leq \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{C}-\boldsymbol{A}\right\|_{F}\left\|\boldsymbol{s}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{s}\right\|}$$

i.e. we have $\|A - B\|_{\mathcal{D}} \le \|C - A\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ for all $C \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof moreover

Let B' and B'' two different minimum. Then $\frac{1}{2}(B' + B'') \in B$

$$\left\| A - \frac{1}{2} (B' + B'') \right\| \le \frac{1}{2} \left\| A - B' \right\|_F + \frac{1}{2} \left\| A - B'' \right\|_F$$

If the inequality is strict we have a contradiction. From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have an equality only when $A - B' = \lambda(A - B'')$ so that

$$B' - \lambda B'' = (1 - \lambda)A$$

and

$$B's - \lambda B''s = (1 - \lambda)As \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda)y = (1 - \lambda)As$$

but this is true only when
$$\lambda=1$$
, i.e. ${m B}'={m B}''.$

Algorithm (PSB quasi-Newton algorithm)
$$k \leftarrow 0;$$
 x assigned; $q \leftarrow \nabla f(x); B \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x);$

$$x$$
 assigned; $g \leftarrow \nabla$
while $||g|| > \epsilon$ do

— compute search direction
$$d \leftarrow B^{-1}q$$
; [solve linear system $Bd = q$]

Approximate
$$\arg \min_{\alpha>0} f(x-\alpha d)$$
 by linsearch;

— perform step

$$x \leftarrow x - \alpha d;$$

— update B_{k+1}

$$\omega \leftarrow \nabla f(x) + (\alpha - 1)g; g \leftarrow \nabla f(x);$$

$$\beta \leftarrow (\alpha \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d})^{-1}; \quad \gamma \leftarrow \beta^2 \alpha \mathbf{d}^T \omega;$$

 $\mathbf{B} \leftarrow \mathbf{B} - \beta (\mathbf{d} \omega^T + \omega \mathbf{d}^T) + \gamma \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d}^T;$

$$B \leftarrow B - \beta(d\omega^1 + \omega d^1) + \gamma dd$$

 $k \leftarrow k + 1$;

end while

Outline

- Quasi Newton Method
- The symmetric rank one update
- The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) updat
- The Broyden class

ullet Solving for α and β the equation

$$\alpha(s_k^T y_k)s_k + \beta(y_k^T H_k y_k)H_k y_k = s_k - H_k y_k$$

we obtain

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{s_k^T y_k}$$
 $\beta = -\frac{1}{y_k^T H_k y_k}$

 substituting in the updating formula we obtain the Davidon Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k}$$

 Obviously this is only a possible choice and with other solution we obtain different update formulas. Next we must prove that under suitable condition the DFP update formula maintains opsitive definitiveness.

he Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 upda

ullet The SR1 and PSB update maintains the symmetry but do not maintains the positive definitiveness of the matrix H_{k+1} . To recover this further property we can try the update of the form:

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \alpha u u^T + \beta v v^T$$

. Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse)

$$H_{l\cdot\perp 1}y_{l\cdot} = s_{l\cdot}$$
 \Rightarrow

$$H_k y_k + \alpha (u^T y_k) u + \beta (v^T y_k) v = s_k$$

$$\alpha(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}_k)\mathbf{u} + \beta(\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u}_k)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{u}_k$$

clearly this equation has not a unique solution. A natural choice for u and v is the following:

$$u = s_k$$
 $v = H_k y_k$

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update Positive definitiveness of DFP update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of DFP update)

Given $oldsymbol{H}_k$ symmetric and positive definite, then the DFP update

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}$$

produce H_{k+1} positive definite if and only if $s_k^T y_k > 0$.

Remark (Wolfe ⇒ DFP update is SPD)

Expanding $s_k^T y_k > 0$ we have $\nabla \mathsf{f}(x_{k+1}) s_k > \nabla \mathsf{f}(x_k) s_k$.

Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with $\alpha_k > 0$. But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is $\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) p_k \ge c_2 \nabla f(x_k) p_k$ with $0 < c_2 < 1$. But this imply:

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1})s_k \ge c_2 \nabla f(x_k)s_k > \nabla f(x_k)s_k \Rightarrow s_k^T y_k > 0.$$



$$\begin{split} z^T H_{k+1} z &= z^T \bigg(H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \bigg) z + z^T \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} z \\ &= z^T H_k z - \frac{(z^T H_k y_k)(y_k^T H_k z)}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + \frac{(z^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} \end{split}$$

 H_k is SPD so that there exists the Cholesky decomposition $LL^T = H_b$. Defining $a = L^T z$ and $b = L^T y_b$ we can write

$$\boldsymbol{z}^T\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{z} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{a})(\boldsymbol{b}^T\boldsymbol{b}) - (\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{b})^2}{\boldsymbol{b}^T\boldsymbol{b}} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{z}^T\boldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T\boldsymbol{y}_k}$$

from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have $(a^Ta)(b^Tb) > (a^Tb)^2$ so that $z^TH_{l+1}z > 0$.

Proof.

To prove strict inequality remember from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that $(a^Ta)(b^Tb) = (a^Tb)^2$ if and only if $a = \lambda b$, i.e.

$$L^T z = \lambda L^T y_k$$
 \Rightarrow $z = \lambda y_k$

but in this case

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

$$\frac{(\boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} = \lambda^2 \frac{(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} > 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{z} > 0.$$

Let be $z^T H_{k+1} z > 0$ for all $z \neq 0$: Choosing $z = y_k$ we have

$$0 < y_k^T H_{k+1} y_k = \frac{(y^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} = s_k^T y_k$$

Algorithm (DFP quasi-Newton algorithm)

$$k \leftarrow 0$$
;
 x assigned; $g \leftarrow \nabla f(x)$; $H \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}$;
while $||g|| > \epsilon$ do

— compute search direction

$$d \leftarrow Hg;$$

Approximate
$$\arg\min_{\alpha>0} \mathrm{f}(x-\alpha d)$$
 by linsearch; — perform step

$$x \leftarrow x - \alpha d$$
;
— update H_{l+1}

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

$$u \leftarrow \nabla f(x) - a$$
: $z \leftarrow Hu$: $a \leftarrow \nabla f(x)$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{y} \leftarrow
abla \mathbf{f}(oldsymbol{x}) - oldsymbol{g}; & oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} \mathbf{y}; & oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow
abla \mathbf{f}(oldsymbol{x}); \\ oldsymbol{H} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} - lpha rac{oldsymbol{d} oldsymbol{d}}{oldsymbol{d}^T oldsymbol{y}} - rac{oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} \mathbf{y}; & oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow
abla \mathbf{f}(oldsymbol{x}); \\ oldsymbol{H} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} - lpha rac{oldsymbol{d} oldsymbol{d}}{oldsymbol{d}^T oldsymbol{y}} - rac{oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{V} \mathbf{f}(oldsymbol{x}); \\ oldsymbol{H} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} - lpha rac{oldsymbol{d} oldsymbol{d}}{oldsymbol{d}^T oldsymbol{u}} - rac{oldsymbol{z} \leftarrow oldsymbol{V} \mathbf{f}(oldsymbol{x}); \\ oldsymbol{H} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H} - lpha rac{oldsymbol{d} oldsymbol{d}}{oldsymbol{d}^T oldsymbol{u}} - rac{oldsymbol{d} oldsymbol{d}}{oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{z}}; \end{aligned}$$

$$k \leftarrow k + 1$$
;

end while

Theorem (property of DFP update)

Let be $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_{\star})^{T}A(x - x_{\star}) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_{i,i}\}\$ and $\{H_{i,i}\}\$ produced by the sequence $\{s_{i,i}\}\$

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

 $\bullet H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_{k+1}^T} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{s_k^T H_{k+1}};$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by exact line-search. Then for j < k we have

$$g_k^T s_j = 0;$$
 $H_k u_i = s_i;$

[orthogonality property] [hereditary property]

$$\mathbf{s}_{i}^{T} A \mathbf{s}_{i} = 0;$$
 [conjugate direction property]

• The method terminate (i.e.
$$\nabla f(x_m) = \mathbf{0}$$
) at $x_m = x_\star$ with

m < n. If n = m then
$$H_n = A^{-1}$$
.

Points (1), (2) and (3) are proved by induction. The base of induction is obvious, let be the theorem true for k > 0. Due to exact line search we have:

$$g_{k+1}^T s_k = 0$$

moreover by induction for j < k we have $g_{k+1}^T s_j = 0$, in fact:

$$\begin{split} g_{k+1}^T s_j &= g_j^T s_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (g_{i+1} - g_i)^T s_j \\ &= 0 + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_*) - A(x_i - x_*))^T s_j \\ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_i))^T s_j \\ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} s_i^T A s_j = 0. \quad \text{[induction + conjugacy prop.]} \end{split}$$

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

Proof.

(3/4)

Due to DFP construction we have

$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and DFP formula for j < k we have, $s_i^T y_i = s_i^T A s_i = 0$, moreover

$$\begin{split} H_{k+1}y_j &= H_ky_j + \frac{s_ks_k^Ty_j}{s_k^Ty_k} - \frac{H_ky_ky_k^TH_ky_j}{y_k^TH_ky_k} \\ &= s_j + \frac{s_k0}{s_k^Ty_k} - \frac{H_ky_ky_k^Ts_j}{y_k^TH_ky_k} \quad [H_ky_j = s_j] \\ &= s_j - \frac{H_ky_k(g_{k+1} - g_k)^Ts_j}{y_k^TH_ky_k} \quad [y_j = g_{j+1} - g_j] \\ &= s_i \quad [\text{induction} + \text{ortho. prop.}] \end{split}$$

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 up

Proof

 $= -\alpha_{k+1} \boldsymbol{a}_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{u}_k$

By using
$$s_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1} \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{g}_{k+1}$$
 we have $s_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{A} s_j = 0$, in fact:

$$\begin{split} s_{k+1}^T A s_j &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A x_{j+1} - A x_j) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A (x_{j+1} - x_*) - A (x_j - x_*)) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (g_{j+1} - g_j) \end{split}$$

$$= -lpha_{k+1} oldsymbol{g}_{k+1}^T oldsymbol{s}_j$$
 [induction + hereditary prop.]

=0 notice that we have used $As_i=y_i.$

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

Proof.

(4 / 4

Finally if m=n we have s_j with $j=0,1,\dots,n-1$ are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{n}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s}_{k}=\boldsymbol{H}_{n}\boldsymbol{y}_{k}=\boldsymbol{s}_{k}$$

i.e. we have

$$H_n A s_k = s_k$$
, $k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$

due to linear independence of $\{s_k\}$ follows that $oldsymbol{H}_n = oldsymbol{A}^{-1}.$



The symmetric rank one update

The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update

The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

The Broyden class

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update



$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}$$

by the duality we obtain the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update formula

$$B_{k+1} \leftarrow B_k + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{y_k^T s_k} - \frac{B_k s_k s_k^T B_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k}$$

 The BFGS formula written in this way is not useful in the case of large problem. We need an equivalent formula for the inverse of the approximate Hessian. This can be done with a generalization of the Sherman-Morrison formula.

he Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

- Another update which maintain symmetry and positive definitiveness is the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS,1970) rank 2 update.
- This update was independently discovered by the four authors.
- A convenient way to introduce BFGS is by the concept of duality.
- · Duality means that if I found an update for the Hessian, say

$$B_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(B_k, s_k, y_k)$$

which satisfy $B_{k+1}s_k=y_k$ (the secant condition on the Hessian). Then by exchanging $B_k\rightleftharpoons H_k$ and $s_k\rightleftharpoons y_k$ we obtain the update for the inverse of the Hessian, i.e.

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow U(H_k, y_k, s_k)$$

which satisfy $H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$ (the secant condition on the inverse of the Hessian).



Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

(1/2)

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula permit to explicit write the inverse of a matrix changed with a rank k perturbation

Proposition (Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula)

$$(A + UV^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(I + V^TU)^{-1}V^TA^{-1}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{U} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{u}_1, oldsymbol{u}_2, \dots, oldsymbol{u}_k \end{bmatrix} \qquad oldsymbol{V} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{v}_1, oldsymbol{v}_2, \dots, oldsymbol{v}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

The Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula can be checked by a direct calculation



The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

Remark

The previous formula can be written as:

$$\left({\boldsymbol{A}} + \sum\limits_{i = 1}^k {{{\boldsymbol{u}}_i}{\boldsymbol{v}_i^T}} \right)^{ - 1} = {\boldsymbol{A}^{ - 1}} - {\boldsymbol{A}^{ - 1}}U{\boldsymbol{C}^{ - 1}}{\boldsymbol{V}^T}{\boldsymbol{A}^{ - 1}}$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u}_j$$
 $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Proposition

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

The BFGS update for H

By using the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula the BFGS update for H becomes:

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k - \frac{H_k y_k s_k^T + s_k y_k^T H_k}{s_k^T y_k}$$

$$+ \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \left(1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k}\right)$$
(A)

Or equivalently

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\right) \boldsymbol{H}_k \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\right) + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} \tag{B}$$

Proof.

Consider the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula with k=2 and

$$u_1 = v_1 = rac{oldsymbol{y}_k}{(oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{y}_k)^{1/2}} \qquad u_2 = -v_2 = rac{oldsymbol{B}_koldsymbol{s}_k}{(oldsymbol{s}_k^Toldsymbol{B}_koldsymbol{s}_k)^{1/2}}$$

in this way (setting $H_k = B_k^{-1}$) we have $C_{11} = 1 + v_1^T u_1 = 1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{e^T x_0}$

$$C_{22} = 1 + v_2^T u_2 = -\frac{s_k^T B_k H_k B_k s_k}{s_1^T B_k s_k} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$C_{12} = \boldsymbol{v}_1^T \boldsymbol{u}_2 \qquad = \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{B}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k}{(\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k)^{1/2} (\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{B}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k)^{1/2}} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{B}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k)^{1/2}}{(\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k)^{1/2}}$$

$$C_{21} = \boldsymbol{v}_2^T \boldsymbol{u}_1 = -C_{12}$$

Proof

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

In this way the matric $oldsymbol{C}$ has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\beta = 1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s^T y_k} \qquad \alpha = \frac{(s_k^T B_k s_k)^{1/2}}{(s^T y_k)^{1/2}}$$

where setting
$$\tilde{U}=H_kU$$
 and $\tilde{V}=H_kV$ where

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i = \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{u}_i$$
 and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_i = \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{v}_i$ $i = 1, 2$

we have

$$H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k - H_k U C^{-1} V^T H_k = H_k - \tilde{U} C^{-1} \tilde{V}^T$$

= $H_k + \frac{1}{2} (-\tilde{u}_1 \tilde{v}_2^T + \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{v}_1^T) - \frac{\beta}{-2} \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{v}_2^T$

Proof.

Substituting the values of α , β , \tilde{u} 's and \tilde{v} 's we have we have

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H}_k - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T + \boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\right)$$

At this point the update formula (B) is a straightforward calculation

Positive definitiveness of BFGS update

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of BFGS update)

Given H_k symmetric and positive definite, then the DFP update

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \leftarrow \Big(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{y}_k^T}{c^T oldsymbol{s}_k} \Big) oldsymbol{H}_k \Big(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{y}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{c^T oldsymbol{s}_k} \Big) + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k oldsymbol{s}_k^T}{c^T oldsymbol{s}_k}$$

produce H_{k+1} positive definite if and only if $s_k^T y_k > 0$.

Remark (Wolfe ⇒ BFGS update is SPD)

Expanding $s_k^T y_k > 0$ we have $\nabla f(x_{k+1})s_k > \nabla f(x_k)s_k$. Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$

with $\alpha_k > 0$. But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is $\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k)p_k \ge c_2 \nabla f(x_k)p_k$ with $0 < c_2 < 1$. But this imply:

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \boldsymbol{s}_k \geq \frac{c_2}{c_2} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \boldsymbol{s}_k > \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \boldsymbol{s}_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k > 0.$$

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

Proof

Let be $s_k^T y_k > 0$: consider a $z \neq 0$ then

$$\boldsymbol{z}^T\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{H}_k\boldsymbol{w} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{z}^T\boldsymbol{s}_k)^2}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T\boldsymbol{y}_k} \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}_k\frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T\boldsymbol{z}}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T\boldsymbol{y}_k}$$

In order to have $z^T H_{k+1} z = 0$ we must have w = 0 and $z^T s_i = 0$. But $z^T s_i = 0$ imply w = z and this imply z = 0

Let be $z^T H_{k+1} z > 0$ for all $z \neq 0$: Choosing $z = u_k$ we have

$$0 < y_k^T H_{k+1} y_k = \frac{(s_k^T y_k)^2}{s^T y_k} = s_k^T y_k$$

and thus $s_k^T y_k > 0$.

Algorithm (BFGS quasi-Newton algorithm)

$$k \leftarrow 0$$
;
 $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (m_j)^2 H_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (m_j)^2 H_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (m_j)^2 H_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (m_j)^2 H_$

$$x$$
 assigned; $g \leftarrow \nabla f(x)$; $H \leftarrow \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}$;
while $||g|| > \epsilon$ do

$$d \leftarrow Hg$$
;
Approximate $\arg\min_{\alpha>0} \mathsf{f}(x-\alpha d)$ by linsearch;

— perform step
$$x \leftarrow x - \alpha d$$
:

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

$$-$$
 update H_{k+1}
 $y \leftarrow \nabla f(x) - g$; $z \leftarrow Hy$; $g \leftarrow \nabla f(x)$;

$$y \leftarrow \forall \mathsf{t}(x) - g; z \leftarrow Hy; g \leftarrow \forall \mathsf{t}(x);$$

 $H \leftarrow H - \frac{zd^T + dz^T}{d^T u} + \left(\alpha - \frac{y^T z}{d^T u}\right) \frac{dd^T}{d^T u};$

$$H \leftarrow H - \frac{za + az}{d^Ty} + \left(\alpha - \frac{y}{d^Ty}\right)\frac{z}{d^Ty};$$
 $k \leftarrow k + 1$

$$\{oldsymbol{x}_k\}$$
 and $\{oldsymbol{H}_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{oldsymbol{s}_k\}$

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by exact line-search. Then for i < k we have

$$\mathbf{g}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{s}_{i}=0;$$

$$\mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j;$$

[conjugate direction property]

• The method terminate (i.e. $\nabla f(x_m) = 0$) at $x_m = x_{\star}$ with $m \le n$. If n = m then $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$.

Proof

Points (1), (2) and (3) are proved by induction. The base of induction is obvious, let be the theorem true for k > 0. Due to exact line search we have:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{k+1}^T\boldsymbol{s}_k = \boldsymbol{0}$$

moreover by induction for j < k we have $g_{k+1}^T s_i = 0$, in fact:

$$m{g}_{k+1}^T m{s}_j = m{g}_j^T m{s}_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (m{g}_{i+1} - m{g}_i)^T m{s}_j$$

$$egin{aligned} &= 0 + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_{\star}) - A(x_{i} - x_{\star}))^{T} s_{j} \ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_{i}))^{T} s_{j} \end{aligned}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i^T A s_i = 0.$$
 [induction

 $=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{s}_i^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = 0.$ [induction + conjugacy prop.]

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

Proof.

By using $s_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1}H_{k+1}g_{k+1}$ we have $s_{k+1}^TAs_i = 0$, in fact:

$$\begin{split} s_{k+1}^T A s_j &= -a_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A x_{j+1} - A x_j) \\ &= -a_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A (x_{j+1} - x_{\star}) - A (x_j - x_{\star})) \\ &= -a_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (g_{j+1} - g_j) \\ &= -a_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} y_t \end{split}$$

$$=-lpha_{k+1}m{g}_{k+1}^Tm{s}_j$$
 [induction + hereditary prop.] $=0$

notice that we have used $As_i = y_i$.

Proof

Due to BEGS construction we have

The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update

$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and BFGS formula for i < k we have, $s_i^T y_i = s_i^T A s_i = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{y}_j &= \Big(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_j} \Big) \boldsymbol{H}_k \Big(\boldsymbol{y}_j - \frac{s_k^T y_j}{s_k^T y_k} y_k \Big) + \frac{s_k s_k^T y_j}{s_k^T y_k} \\ &= \Big(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \Big) \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_j + \frac{s_k 0}{s_k^T y_k} \quad \left[\boldsymbol{H}_k y_j = s_j \right] \end{split}$$

$$= s_j - rac{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{s}_j}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} oldsymbol{s}_k$$

$$= s_j$$

Proof

Finally if m = n we have s_i with $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$H_n A s_k = H_n u_k = s_k$$

i.e. we have

$$H_n A s_k = s_k$$
, $k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$

due to linear independence of $\{s_k\}$ follows that $H_n=A^{-1}$. \square

Outline

- The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- The Broyden class

The Broyden class

The DFP update

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H}_k - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T + \boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k}\right)$$

and BFGS update

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{H}_k + \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_k \boldsymbol{s}_k^T}{\boldsymbol{s}_k^T \boldsymbol{y}_k} - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\boldsymbol{y}_k^T \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{y}_k}$$

maintains the symmetry and positive definitiveness.

The following update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{\theta} \leftarrow (1 - \theta)\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

maintain for any θ the symmetry, and for $\theta \in [0,1]$ also the positive definitiveness.

Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update

Theorem (Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update)

Given Hi. symmetric and positive definite, then the Broyden Class update

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{\theta} \leftarrow \big(1-\theta\big)\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

produce H_{k+1}^{θ} positive definite for any $\theta \in [0,1]$ if and only if $s_k^T y_k > 0.$



Theorem (property of Broyden Class update)

Let be $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_+)^T A(x - x_+) + c$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite. Let be x_0 and H_0 assigned. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{H_k\}$ produced by the sequence $\{s_k\}$

$$\bullet$$
 $H_{k+1}^{\theta} \leftarrow (1 - \theta)H_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta H_{k+1}^{BFGS}$;

where $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$ with α_k is obtained by exact line-search. Then for i < k we have

for
$$j < k$$
 we have
 $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{s}_{i} = 0$:

$$\bullet \ H_k y_j = s_j;$$

$$\mathbf{o} \mathbf{s}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_{i} = 0;$$





The Broyden class

References

- J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch Introduction to numerical analysis Springer-Verlag, Texts in Applied Mathematics, 12, 2002.
- I F Dennis Ir and Robert B Schnabel Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 16, 1996.

The Broyden Class update canbe written as

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{ heta} &= oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + heta oldsymbol{w}_k oldsymbol{w}_k^T \ &= oldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} + oldsymbol{(\theta-1)} oldsymbol{w}_k oldsymbol{w}_k^T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{w}_k = ig(oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k ig)^{1/2} ig[rac{oldsymbol{s}_k}{oldsymbol{s}_k^T oldsymbol{y}_k} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}{oldsymbol{y}_k^T oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{y}_k}ig]$$

- For particular values of θ we obtain
 - $\theta = 0$ the DFP undate
 - $\theta = 1$, the BFGS update
 - $\theta = s_k^T y_k / (s_k H_k y_k)^T y_k$ the SR1 update
 - $\theta = (1 \pm (y_t^T H_k y_k / s_t^T y_k))^{-1}$ the Hoshino update







