

# Quasi-Newton methods for minimization

Lectures for PHD course on  
Unconstrained Numerical Optimization

Enrico Bertolazzi

DIMS - Università di Trento

May 2008

## Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class

## Algorithm (General quasi-Newton algorithm)

```
k ← 0;  $\mathbf{x}_0$  assigned;  $\mathbf{g}_0 = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0)^T$ ;  $\mathbf{H}_0 = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}$ ;  
while  $\|\mathbf{g}_k\| > \epsilon$  do  
  — compute search direction  
   $\mathbf{d}_k = -\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{g}_k$ ;  
  Approximate  $\arg \min_{\lambda > 0} f(\mathbf{x}_k + \lambda \mathbf{d}_k)$  by linsearch;  
  — perform step  
   $\mathbf{s}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{d}_k$ ;  
   $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{s}_k$ ;  
   $\mathbf{g}_{k+1} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})^T$ ;  
   $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{g}_{k+1} - \mathbf{g}_k$ ;  
  — update  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$   
   $\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \text{some\_algorithm}(\mathbf{H}_k, \mathbf{s}_k, \mathbf{y}_k)$ ;  
  k ← k + 1;  
end while
```

## Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class

- Let  $B_k$  and approximation of the Hessian of  $f(x)$ . Let  $x_k$ ,  $x_{k+1}$ ,  $g_k$  and  $g_{k+1}$  points and gradients at  $k$  and  $k+1$ -th iterates. Using the Broyden update formula to force secant condition to  $B_{k+1}$  we obtain

$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{(y_k - B_k s_k) s_k^T}{s_k^T s_k},$$

where  $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$  and  $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$ . By using Sherman–Morrison formula and setting  $H_k = B_k^{-1}$  we obtain the update:

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{(H_k y_k - s_k) s_k^T}{s_k^T s_k + s_k^T H_k g_{k+1}} H_k$$

- The previous update does not maintain symmetry. In fact if  $H_k$  is symmetric then  $H_{k+1}$  not necessarily is symmetric.



- To avoid the loss of symmetry we can consider an update of the form:

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + uu^T$$

- Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse) we obtain

$$H_{k+1} y_k = s_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_k y_k + uu^T y_k = s_k$$

from previous equality

$$y_k^T H_k y_k + y_k^T uu^T y_k = y_k^T s_k \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$y_k^T u = (y_k^T s_k - y_k^T H_k y_k)^{1/2}$$

we obtain

$$u = \frac{s_k - H_k y_k}{u^T y_k} = \frac{s_k - H_k y_k}{(y_k^T s_k - y_k^T H_k y_k)^{1/2}}$$



- substituting the expression of  $u$

$$u = \frac{s_k - H_k y_k}{(y_k^T s_k - y_k^T H_k y_k)^{1/2}}$$

in the update formula, we obtain

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{w_k w_k^T}{w_k^T y_k} \quad w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$$

- The previous update formula is the **symmetric rank one** formula (SR1).
- To be definite the previous formula needs  $w_k^T y_k \neq 0$ . Moreover if  $w_k^T y_k < 0$  and  $H_k$  is positive definite then  $H_{k+1}$  may loss positive definitiveness.
- Have  $H_k$  symmetric and positive definite is important for **global convergence**



This lemma is used in the forward theorems

#### Lemma

Let be

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x + c$$

with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric and positive definite. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= g_{k+1} - g_k \\ &= A x_{k+1} - b - A x_k + b \\ &= A s_k \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_k = \nabla q(x_k)^T$ .



## Theorem (property of SR1 update)

Let be

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x + c$$

with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric and positive definite. Let be  $x_0$  and  $H_0$  assigned. Let  $x_k$  and  $H_k$  produced by

- 1  $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$ ;
- 2  $H_{k+1}$  updated by the SR1 formula

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{w_k w_k^T}{w_k^T y_k} \quad w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$$

If  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  are linearly independent then  $H_n = A^{-1}$ .



## Proof.

(2/2).

To prove that  $H_n = A^{-1}$  notice that

$$H_n y_j = s_j, \quad A s_j = y_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

and combining the equality

$$H_n A s_j = s_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

due to the linear independence of  $s_i$  we have  $H_n A = I$  i.e.  $H_n = A^{-1}$ . □



## Proof.

(1/2).

We prove by induction the hereditary property  $H_i y_j = s_j$ .

**BASE:** For  $i = 1$  is exactly the secant condition of the update.

**INDUCTION:** Suppose the relation is valid for  $k > 0$  then we prove that it is valid for  $k + 1$ . In fact, from the update formula

$$H_{k+1} y_j = H_k y_j + \frac{w_k^T y_j}{w_k^T y_k} w_k \quad w_k = s_k - H_k y_k$$

by the induction hypothesis for  $j < k$  and using lemma on slide 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_k^T y_j &= s_k^T y_j - y_k^T H_k y_j = s_k^T y_j - y_k^T s_j \\ &= y_k^T A y_j - y_k^T A y_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $H_{k+1} y_j = H_k y_j = s_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . For  $j = k$  we have  $H_{k+1} y_k = s_k$  trivially by construction of the SR1 formula.



## Properties of SR1 update

(1/2)

- 1 The SR1 update possesses the natural quadratic termination property (like CG).
- 2 SR1 satisfy the hereditary property  $H_k y_j = s_j$  for  $j < k$ .
- 3 SR1 does maintain the positive definiteness of  $H_k$  if and only if  $w_k^T y_k > 0$ . However this condition is difficult to guarantee.
- 4 Sometimes  $w_k^T y_k$  becomes very small or 0. This results in serious numerical difficulty (roundoff) or even the algorithm is broken. We can avoid this breakdown by the following strategy

## Breakdown workaround for SR1 update

- 1 if  $|w_k^T y_k| \geq \epsilon \|w_k^T\| \|y_k\|$  (i.e. the angle between  $w_k$  and  $y_k$  is far from 90 degree), then we update with the SR1 formula.
- 2 Otherwise we set  $H_{k+1} = H_k$ .



## Properties of SR1 update

(2/2)

## Theorem (Convergence of nonlinear SR1 update)

Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  satisfying standard assumption. Let be  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$  a sequence of iterates such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_*$ . Suppose we use the *breakdown workaround for SR1 update* and the steps  $\{s_k\}$  are uniformly linearly independent. Then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{H}_k - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_*)^{-1}\| = 0.$$



A.R.Conn, N.I.M.Gould and P.L.Toint

Convergence of quasi-Newton matrices generated by the symmetric rank one update.

Mathematic of Computation 50 399-430, 1988.



## Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



- The SR1 update, although symmetric do not have minimum property like the Broyden update for the non symmetric case.
- The Broyden update

$$\mathbf{A}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}_k + \frac{(\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{s}_k) \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k}$$

solve the minimization problem

$$\|\mathbf{A}_{k+1} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_F \leq \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_F \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$$

- If we solve a similar problem in the class of symmetric matrix we obtain the Powell-symmetric-Broyden (PSB) update



## Lemma (Powell-Symmetric-Broyden update)

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric and  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{s} \neq \mathbf{y}$ . Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \mathbf{B} \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^T\}$$

then there exists a **unique** matrix  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\|_F \leq \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{C}\|_F \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{B}$$

moreover  $\mathbf{B}$  has the following form

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} + \frac{\omega \mathbf{s}^T + \mathbf{s} \omega^T}{\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{s}} - (\omega^T \mathbf{s}) \frac{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}^T}{(\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{s})^2} \quad \omega = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}$$

then  $\mathbf{B}$  is a rank two perturbation of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ .



Proof.

(1/9).

First of all notice that  $B$  is not empty, in fact  $B$  satisfy  $Bs = y$  so that the set is not empty. Next we reformulate the problem as a constrained minimum problem:

$$\arg \min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (A_{ij} - B_{ij})^2 \quad \text{subject to } Bs = y \text{ and } B = B^T$$

The solution is a stationary point of the Lagrangian:

$$g(B, \lambda, M) = \frac{1}{2} \|A - B\|_F^2 + \lambda^T (Bs - y) + \sum_{i < j} \mu_{ij} (B_{ij} - B_{ji})$$



Proof.

(2/9).

taking the gradient we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B_{ij}} g(B, \lambda, B) = A_{ij} - B_{ij} + \lambda_i s_j + M_{ij} = 0$$

where

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mu_{ij} & \text{if } i < j; \\ -\mu_{ij} & \text{if } i > j; \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

The previous equality can be written in matrix form as

$$B = A + \lambda s^T + M.$$

where  $M$  is an antisymmetric matrix.



Proof.

(3/9).

Imposing the symmetry for  $B$

$$A + \lambda s^T + M = A^T + s \lambda^T + M^T = A + s \lambda^T - M$$

solving for  $M$  we have

$$M = \frac{s \lambda^T - \lambda s^T}{2}$$

substituting in  $B$  we have

$$B = A + \frac{s \lambda^T + \lambda s^T}{2}$$



Proof.

(4/9).

Imposing  $s^T B s = s^T y$

$$s^T A s + \frac{s^T s \lambda^T s + s^T \lambda s^T s}{2} = s^T y \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda^T s = (s^T \omega) / (s^T s)$$

where  $\omega = y - A s$ . Imposing  $B s = y$

$$A s + \frac{s \lambda^T s + \lambda s^T s}{2} = y \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda = \frac{2\omega}{s^T s} - \frac{(s^T \omega) s}{(s^T s)^2}$$

next we compute the explicit form of  $B$ .



Proof. (5/9).

Substituting

$$\lambda = \frac{2\omega}{s^T s} - \frac{(s^T \omega)s}{(s^T s)^2} \quad \text{in} \quad B = A + \frac{s\lambda^T + \lambda s^T}{2}$$

we obtain

$$B = A + \frac{\omega s^T + s\omega^T}{s^T s} - (\omega^T s) \frac{ss^T}{(s^T s)^2} \quad \omega = y - As$$

next we prove that  $B$  is the **unique minimum**.



Proof. (6/9).

The matrix  $B$  is at minimum distance, in fact consider a symmetric matrix  $C$  which satisfy  $Cs = y$  so that

$$\omega = y - As = (C - A)s = Es \quad \text{where} \quad E = C - A,$$

substituting  $\omega$  with  $Es$  in  $B$  of slide N.16 and noticing that  $E^T = E$  we have

$$B - A = \frac{Ess^T + ss^T E}{s^T s} - (s^T Es) \frac{ss^T}{(s^T s)^2}$$

consider now the product  $(B - A)s$  which result in

$$(B - A)s = Es$$

so that

$$\|(B - A)s\|_2 = \|Es\|_2$$



Proof. (7/9).

consider now the product  $(B - A)z$  where  $z$  is a vector orthogonal to  $s$  (i.e.  $z^T s = 0$ ) which result in

$$\begin{aligned} (B - A)z &= \frac{Ess^T z + ss^T Ez}{s^T s} - (s^T Es) \frac{ss^T z}{(s^T s)^2} \\ &= \frac{ss^T}{s^T s} Ez \end{aligned}$$

so that using Frobenius norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|(B - A)z\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{ss^T}{s^T s} Ez \right\|_2 \leq \left\| \frac{ss^T}{s^T s} \right\|_F \|Ez\|_2 \\ &\leq \|Ez\|_2 = \|(C - A)z\|_2 \end{aligned}$$



Proof. (8/9).

So that considering  $n$  orthonormal vector  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  with  $v_1 = s / \|s\|_2$  we have

$$\|(B - A)v_k\|_2 \leq \|(C - A)v_k\|_2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and using the properties of Frobenius norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|B - A\|_F^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \|(B - A)v_k\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|(C - A)v_k\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|C - A\|_F^2 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we have  $\|B - A\|_F \leq \|C - A\|_F$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{B}$ .



Proof.

(9/9).

Let  $B'$  and  $B''$  two different minimum. Then  $\frac{1}{2}(B' + B'') \in B$  moreover

$$\left\| A - \frac{1}{2}(B' + B'') \right\|_F \leq \frac{1}{2} \|A - B'\|_F + \frac{1}{2} \|A - B''\|_F$$

If the inequality is strict we have a contradiction. From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have an equality only when  $A - B' = \lambda(A - B'')$  so that

$$B' - \lambda B'' = (1 - \lambda)A$$

and

$$B's - \lambda B''s = (1 - \lambda)As \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda)y = (1 - \lambda)As$$

cause  $As \neq y$  this is true only when  $\lambda = 1$ , i.e.  $B' = B''$ .  $\square$

Algorithm (PSB quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

k ← 0;
x0 assigned; g0 ← ∇f(x0)T; B0 ← ∇2f(x0);
while ‖gk‖ > ε do
  — compute search direction
  dk ← -Bk-1gk; [solve linear system Bkdk = -gk]
  Approximate arg minα>0 f(xk + αdk) by line search;
  — perform step
  xk+1 ← xk + αdk;
  — update Bk+1
  gk+1 ← ∇f(xk+1)T;
  ωk ← gk+1 - gk - αBkdk = gk+1 + (α - 1)gk;
  Bk+1 ← Bk +  $\frac{d_k \omega_k^T + \omega_k d_k^T}{\alpha d_k^T d_k} - \frac{d_k^T \omega_k}{\alpha} d_k d_k^T$ ;
  k ← k + 1;
end while

```

Algorithm (PSB quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

k ← 0;
x assigned; g ← ∇f(x)T; B ← ∇2f(x);
while ‖g‖ > ε do
  — compute search direction
  d ← -B-1g; [solve linear system Bd = -g]
  Approximate arg minα>0 f(x + αd) by line search;
  — perform step
  x ← x + αd;
  — update Bk+1
  ω ← ∇f(x)T + (α - 1)g;
  g ← ∇f(x)T;
  β ← (αdTd)-1;
  γ ← dTω/α;
  B ← B + β(dωT + ωdT) - γddT;
  k ← k + 1;
end while

```

Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class

- The SR1 and PSB update maintains the symmetry but do not maintain the positive definitiveness of the matrix  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$ . To recover this further property we can try the update of the form:

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \alpha \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T + \beta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$$

- Imposing the secant condition (on the inverse)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{s}_k & \Rightarrow \\ \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k + \alpha (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{u} + \beta (\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{s}_k & \Rightarrow \\ \alpha (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{u} + \beta (\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \end{aligned}$$

clearly this equation has not a unique solution. A natural choice for  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is the following:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{s}_k \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k$$

- Solving for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the equation

$$\alpha (\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{s}_k + \beta (\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k) \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k$$

we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

- substituting in the updating formula we obtain the Davidson Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

- Obviously this is only one of the possible choices and with other solutions we obtain different update formulas. Next we must prove that under suitable condition the DFP update formula maintains positive definitiveness.

## Positive definitiveness of DFP update

### Theorem (Positive definitiveness of DFP update)

Given  $\mathbf{H}_k$  symmetric and positive definite, then the DFP update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

produce  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$  positive definite if and only if  $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$ .

### Remark (Wolfe $\Rightarrow$ DFP update is SPD)

Expanding  $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$  we have  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \mathbf{s}_k > \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k$ . Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have  $\mathbf{s}_k = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$  with  $\alpha_k > 0$ . But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k) \mathbf{p}_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{p}_k$  with  $0 < c_2 < 1$ . But this imply:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \mathbf{s}_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k > \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{s}_k \Rightarrow \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0.$$

### Proof.

(1/2).

Let be  $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$ : consider a  $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{z}^T \left( \mathbf{H}_k - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \right) \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^T \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \mathbf{z} \\ &= \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{z} - \frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)(\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} + \frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{H}_k$  is SPD so that there exists the Cholesky decomposition  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T = \mathbf{H}_k$ . Defining  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{y}_k$ , we can write

$$\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) - (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b})^2}{\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}} + \frac{(\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{s}_k)^2}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k}$$

from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have  $(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}) \geq (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b})^2$  so that  $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{z} \geq 0$ .



## Proof.

(2/2).

To prove strict inequality remember from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that  $(a^T a)(b^T b) = (a^T b)^2$  if and only if  $a = \lambda b$ , i.e.

$$L^T z = \lambda L^T y_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad z = \lambda y_k$$

but in this case

$$\frac{(z^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} = \lambda^2 \frac{(y^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z^T H_{k+1} z > 0.$$

Let be  $z^T H_{k+1} z > 0$  for all  $z \neq 0$ : Choosing  $z = y_k$  we have

$$0 < y_k^T H_{k+1} y_k = \frac{(y^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} = s_k^T y_k$$



## Algorithm (DFP quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

k ← 0;
x assigned; g ← ∇f(x)T; H ← ∇2f(x)-1;
while ‖g‖ > ε do
  — compute search direction
  d ← -Hg;
  Approximate arg minα>0 f(x + αd) by line-search;
  — perform step
  x ← x + αd;
  — update Hk+1
  y ← ∇f(x)T - g;
  z ← Hy;
  g ← ∇f(x)T;
  H ← H + α  $\frac{dd^T}{d^T y} - \frac{zz^T}{y^T z}$ ;
  k ← k + 1;
end while

```



## Theorem (property of DFP update)

Let be  $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A(x - x_*) + c$  with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric and positive definite. Let be  $x_0$  and  $H_0$  assigned. Let  $\{x_k\}$  and  $\{H_k\}$  produced by the sequence  $\{s_k\}$

- 1  $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k$ ;
- 2  $H_{k+1} \leftarrow H_k + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k}$ ;

where  $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$  with  $\alpha_k$  is obtained by exact line-search. Then for  $j < k$  we have

- 1  $g_k^T s_j = 0$ ; [orthogonality property]
- 2  $H_k y_j = s_j$ ; [hereditary property]
- 3  $s_k^T A s_j = 0$ ; [conjugate direction property]
- 4 The method terminate (i.e.  $\nabla f(x_m) = 0$ ) at  $x_m = x_*$  with  $m \leq n$ . If  $n = m$  then  $H_n = A^{-1}$ .



## Proof.

(1/4).

Points (1), (2) and (3) are proved by induction. The base of induction is obvious, let be the theorem true for  $k > 0$ . Due to exact line search we have:

$$g_{k+1}^T s_k = 0$$

moreover by induction for  $j < k$  we have  $g_{k+1}^T s_j = 0$ , in fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{k+1}^T s_j &= g_j^T s_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (g_{i+1} - g_i)^T s_j \\
 &= 0 + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_*) - A(x_i - x_*))^T s_j \\
 &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_i))^T s_j \\
 &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} s_i^T A s_j = 0. \quad \text{[induction + conjugacy prop.]}
 \end{aligned}$$



Proof.

(2/4).

By using  $s_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1} H_{k+1} g_{k+1}$  we have  $s_{k+1}^T A s_j = 0$ , in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{k+1}^T A s_j &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A x_{j+1} - A x_j) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A(x_{j+1} - x_j) - A(x_j - x_j)) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (g_{j+1} - g_j) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} y_j \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T s_j \quad [\text{induction + hereditary prop.}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



Proof.

(4/4).

Finally if  $m = n$  we have  $s_j$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$H_n A s_k = H_n y_k = s_k$$

i.e. we have

$$H_n A s_k = s_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

due to linear independence of  $\{s_k\}$  follows that  $H_n = A^{-1}$ .  $\square$



Proof.

(3/4).

Due to DFP construction we have

$$H_{k+1} y_k = s_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and DFP formula for  $j < k$  we have,  $s_k^T y_j = s_k^T A s_j = 0$ , moreover

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k+1} y_j &= H_k y_j + \frac{s_k s_k^T y_j}{s_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k y_j}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \\ &= s_j + \frac{s_k 0}{s_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T s_j}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \quad [H_k y_j = s_j] \\ &= s_j - \frac{H_k y_k (g_{k+1} - g_k)^T s_j}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \quad [y_j = g_{j+1} - g_j] \\ &= s_j \quad [\text{induction + ortho. prop.}] \end{aligned}$$



## Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



- Another update which maintain symmetry and positive definitiveness is the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS,1970) rank 2 update.
- This update was independently discovered by the four authors.
- A convenient way to introduce BFGS is by the concept of duality.
- Consider an update for the Hessian, say

$$B_{k+1} = U(B_k, s_k, y_k)$$

which satisfy  $B_{k+1}s_k = y_k$  (the secant condition on the Hessian). Then by exchanging  $B_k \rightleftharpoons H_k$  and  $s_k \rightleftharpoons y_k$  we obtain the **dual** update for the inverse of the Hessian, i.e.

$$H_{k+1} = U(H_k, y_k, s_k)$$

which satisfy  $H_{k+1}y_k = s_k$  (the secant condition on the inverse of the Hessian).



- Starting from the Davidon Fletcher and Powell (DFP) rank 2 update formula

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k}$$

by the duality we obtain the Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update formula

$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{y_k^T s_k} - \frac{B_k s_k s_k^T B_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k}$$

- The BFGS formula written in this way is not useful in the case of large problem. We need an equivalent formula for the inverse of the approximate Hessian. This can be done with a generalization of the Sherman-Morrison formula.



## Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

(1/2)

Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula permit to explicit write the inverse of a matrix changed with a rank  $k$  perturbation

### Proposition (Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula)

$$(A + UV^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(I + V^T U)^{-1}V^T A^{-1}$$

where

$$U = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] \quad V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k]$$

The Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula can be checked by a direct calculation.



## Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

(2/2)

### Remark

The previous formula can be written as:

$$\left( A + \sum_{i=1}^k u_i v_i^T \right)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}UC^{-1}V^T A^{-1}$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + v_i^T u_j \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$



The BFGS update for  $H$ 

## Proposition

By using the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula the BFGS update for  $H$  becomes:

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k s_k^T + s_k y_k^T H_k}{s_k^T y_k} + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \left( 1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k} \right) \quad (A)$$

Or equivalently

$$H_{k+1} = \left( I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k \left( I - \frac{y_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \quad (B)$$



## Proof.

(1/3).

Consider the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula with  $k = 2$  and

$$u_1 = v_1 = \frac{y_k}{(s_k^T y_k)^{1/2}} \quad u_2 = -v_2 = \frac{B_k s_k}{(s_k^T B_k s_k)^{1/2}}$$

in this way (setting  $H_k = B_k^{-1}$ ) we have

$$C_{11} = 1 + v_1^T u_1 = 1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k}$$

$$C_{22} = 1 + v_2^T u_2 = -\frac{s_k^T B_k H_k B_k s_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$C_{12} = v_1^T u_2 = \frac{y_k^T B_k s_k}{(s_k^T y_k)^{1/2} (s_k^T B_k s_k)^{1/2}} = \frac{(s_k^T B_k s_k)^{1/2}}{(s_k^T y_k)^{1/2}}$$

$$C_{21} = v_2^T u_1 = -C_{12}$$



## Proof.

(2/3).

In this way the matrix  $C$  has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad C^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \alpha & -\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta = 1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k} \quad \alpha = \frac{(s_k^T B_k s_k)^{1/2}}{(s_k^T y_k)^{1/2}}$$

where setting  $\tilde{U} = H_k U$  and  $\tilde{V} = H_k V$  where

$$\tilde{u}_i = H_k u_i \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}_i = H_k v_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k+1} &= H_k - H_k U C^{-1} V^T H_k = H_k - \tilde{U} C^{-1} \tilde{V}^T \\ &= H_k + \frac{1}{\alpha} (-\tilde{u}_1 \tilde{v}_1^T + \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{v}_1^T) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{v}_2^T \end{aligned}$$



## Proof.

(3/3).

Substituting the values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\tilde{u}$ 's and  $\tilde{v}$ 's we have we have

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k s_k^T + s_k y_k^T H_k}{s_k^T y_k} + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \left( 1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k} \right)$$

At this point the update formula (B) is a straightforward calculation.



## Positive definiteness of BFGS update

## Theorem (Positive definiteness of BFGS update)

Given  $H_k$  symmetric and positive definite, then the BFGS update

$$H_{k+1} = \left( I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k \left( I - \frac{y_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k}$$

produce  $H_{k+1}$  positive definite if and only if  $s_k^T y_k > 0$ .

Remark (Wolfe  $\Rightarrow$  BFGS update is SPD)

Expanding  $s_k^T y_k > 0$  we have  $\nabla f(x_{k+1}) s_k > \nabla f(x_k) s_k$ . Remember that in a minimum search algorithm we have  $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$  with  $\alpha_k > 0$ . But the second Wolfe condition for line-search is  $\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) p_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(x_k) p_k$  with  $0 < c_2 < 1$ . But this imply:

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1}) s_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(x_k) s_k > \nabla f(x_k) s_k \Rightarrow s_k^T y_k > 0.$$

## Proof.

Let be  $s_k^T y_k > 0$ : consider a  $z \neq 0$  then

$$z^T H_{k+1} z = w^T H_k w + \frac{(z^T s_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} \quad \text{where} \quad w = z - y_k \frac{s_k^T z}{s_k^T y_k}$$

In order to have  $z^T H_{k+1} z = 0$  we must have  $w = 0$  and  $z^T s_k = 0$ . But  $z^T s_k = 0$  imply  $w = z$  and this imply  $z = 0$ .

Let be  $z^T H_{k+1} z > 0$  for all  $z \neq 0$ : Choosing  $z = y_k$  we have

$$0 < y_k^T H_{k+1} y_k = \frac{(s_k^T y_k)^2}{s_k^T y_k} = s_k^T y_k$$

and thus  $s_k^T y_k > 0$ .  $\square$

## Algorithm (BFGS quasi-Newton algorithm)

```

k ← 0;
x assigned; g ← ∇f(x)T; H ← ∇2f(x)-1;
while ‖g‖ > ε do
  — compute search direction
  d ← -Hg;
  Approximate arg minα>0 f(x + αd) by line-search;
  — perform step
  x ← x + αd;
  — update Hk+1
  y ← ∇f(x)T - g;
  z ← Hy / (dTy);
  g ← ∇f(x)T;
  β ← (α + yTz) / (dTy);
  H ← H - (z dT + d zT) + β d dT;
  k ← k + 1;
end while

```

## Theorem (property of BFGS update)

Let be  $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_*)^T A (x - x_*) + c$  with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric and positive definite. Let be  $x_0$  and  $H_0$  assigned. Let  $\{x_k\}$  and  $\{H_k\}$  produced by the sequence  $\{s_k\}$

- $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + s_k$ ;
- $H_{k+1} \leftarrow \left( I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k \left( I - \frac{y_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T y_k}$ ;

where  $s_k = \alpha_k p_k$  with  $\alpha_k$  is obtained by exact line-search. Then for  $j < k$  we have

- $g_k^T s_j = 0$ ; [orthogonality property]
- $H_k y_j = s_j$ ; [hereditary property]
- $s_k^T A s_j = 0$ ; [conjugate direction property]
- The method terminate (i.e.  $\nabla f(x_m) = 0$ ) at  $x_m = x_*$  with  $m \leq n$ . If  $n = m$  then  $H_n = A^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** (1/4).

Points (1), (2) and (3) are proved by induction. The base of induction is obvious, let be the theorem true for  $k > 0$ . Due to exact line search we have:

$$g_{k+1}^T s_k = 0$$

moreover by induction for  $j < k$  we have  $g_{k+1}^T s_j = 0$ , in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k+1}^T s_j &= g_j^T s_j + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (g_{i+1} - g_i)^T s_j \\ &= 0 + \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_*) - A(x_i - x_*))^T s_j \\ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (A(x_{i+1} - x_i))^T s_j \\ &= \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} s_i^T A s_j = 0. \quad [\text{induction + conjugacy prop.}] \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** (2/4).

By using  $s_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1} H_{k+1} g_{k+1}$  we have  $s_{k+1}^T A s_j = 0$ , in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{k+1}^T A s_j &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A x_{j+1} - A x_j) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (A(x_{j+1} - x_*) - A(x_j - x_*)) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} (g_{j+1} - g_j) \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} y_j \\ &= -\alpha_{k+1} g_{k+1}^T s_j \quad [\text{induction + hereditary prop.}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

notice that we have used  $A s_j = y_j$ .

**Proof.** (3/4).

Due to BFGS construction we have

$$H_{k+1} y_k = s_k$$

by inductive hypothesis and BFGS formula for  $j < k$  we have,

$$s_k^T y_j = s_k^T A s_j = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k+1} y_j &= \left( I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k \left( y_j - \frac{s_k^T y_j}{s_k^T y_k} y_k \right) + \frac{s_k s_k^T y_j}{s_k^T y_k} \\ &= \left( I - \frac{s_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k} \right) H_k y_j + \frac{s_k 0}{s_k^T y_k} \quad [H_k y_j = s_j] \\ &= s_j - \frac{y_k^T s_j}{s_k^T y_k} s_k \\ &= s_j \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** (4/4).

Finally if  $m = n$  we have  $s_j$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are conjugate and linearly independent. From hereditary property and lemma on slide 8

$$H_n A s_k = H_n y_k = s_k$$

i.e. we have

$$H_n A s_k = s_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

due to linear independence of  $\{s_k\}$  follows that  $H_n = A^{-1}$ .  $\square$

## Outline

- 1 Quasi Newton Method
- 2 The symmetric rank one update
- 3 The Powell-symmetric-Broyden update
- 4 The Davidon Fletcher and Powell rank 2 update
- 5 The Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb and Shanno (BFGS) update
- 6 The Broyden class



- The BFGS update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} \leftarrow \mathbf{H}_k - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T + \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \left( 1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} \right)$$

and DFP update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} \leftarrow \mathbf{H}_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}$$

maintains the symmetry and positive definitiveness.

- The following update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta \leftarrow (1 - \theta) \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

maintain for any  $\theta$  the symmetry, and for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  also the positive definitiveness.



## Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update

## Theorem (Positive definitiveness of Broyden Class update)

Given  $\mathbf{H}_k$  symmetric and positive definite, then the Broyden Class update

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta \leftarrow (1 - \theta) \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$$

produce  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta$  positive definite for any  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  if and only if  $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k > 0$ .



## Theorem (property of Broyden Class update)

Let be  $q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*)^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*) + c$  with  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric and positive definite. Let be  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\mathbf{H}_0$  assigned. Let  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{H}_k\}$  produced by the sequence  $\{\mathbf{s}_k\}$

- $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{s}_k$ ;
- $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta \leftarrow (1 - \theta) \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS}$ ;

where  $\mathbf{s}_k = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$  with  $\alpha_k$  is obtained by exact line-search. Then for  $j < k$  we have

- $\mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{s}_j = 0$ ; [orthogonality property]
- $\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{s}_j$ ; [hereditary property]
- $\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_j = 0$ ; [conjugate direction property]
- The method terminate (i.e.  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_m) = \mathbf{0}$ ) at  $\mathbf{x}_m = \mathbf{x}_*$  with  $m \leq n$ . If  $n = m$  then  $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .



- The Broyden Class update can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^\theta &= \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} + \theta \mathbf{w}_k \mathbf{w}_k^T \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} + (\theta - 1) \mathbf{w}_k \mathbf{w}_k^T \end{aligned}$$

where




$$\mathbf{w}_k = (\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{s}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k} \right]$$

- For particular values of  $\theta$  we obtain

- $\theta = 0$ , the DFP update
- $\theta = 1$ , the BFGS update
- $\theta = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k / (\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k)^T \mathbf{y}_k$  the SR1 update
- $\theta = (1 \pm (\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{y}_k / \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k))^{-1}$  the Hoshino update



## References

-  J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch  
Introduction to numerical analysis  
Springer-Verlag, Texts in Applied Mathematics, 12, 2002.
-  J. E. Dennis, Jr. and Robert B. Schnabel  
Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations  
SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 16, 1996.
-  Robert B. Schnabel  
Minimum Norm Symmetric Quasi-Newton Updates Restricted to Subspaces  
Mathematics of Computation, 32, 1978

